arXiv:1703.02214v1 [math.AP] 7 Mar 2017

WELL-POSEDNESS OF THE ERICKSEN-LESLIE SYSTEM FOR THE OSEEN-FRANK MODEL IN $L^3_{uloc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$

MIN-CHUN HONG AND YU MEI

Abstract. We investigate the Ericksen-Leslie system for the Oseen-Frank model with unequal Frank elastic constants in $\mathbb{R}^3$. To generalize the result of Hineman-Wang [12], we prove existence of solutions to the Ericksen-Leslie system with initial data having small L^3_{uloc}-norm. In particular, we use a new idea to obtain a local L^3-estimate through interpolation inequalities and a covering argument, which is different from the one in [12]. Moreover, for uniqueness of solutions, we find a new way to remove the restriction on the Frank elastic constants by using the rotation invariant property of the Oseen-Frank density. We combine this with a method of Li-Titi-Xin [23] to prove uniqueness of the L^3_{uloc}-solutions of the Ericksen-Leslie system assuming that the initial data has a finite energy.

1. Introduction

Liquid crystals are states of matter intermediate between solid crystals and normal isotropic liquids. One of the most common liquid crystal phases is nematic. It is composed of rod like molecules which exhibit optically distinguished local directions, unlike a liquid, but lacking the lattice structure of a solid. The macroscopic description of nematic liquid crystal flows is based on the continuum model for configuration of crystals and conservation laws for anisotropic fluids. In their seminal works, Oseen [30] and Frank [8] established variational theory for the static configurations of liquid crystals through seeking the director vector $u \in H^1(\Omega, S^2)$ to minimize the (Oseen-Frank) elastic distortion energy

$$E(u) = \int_\Omega W(u, \nabla u) dx, \tag{1.1}$$

where the free energy density $W(u, \nabla u)$ is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} W(u, \nabla u) =& k_1(\text{div } u)^2 + k_2(u \cdot \text{curl } u)^2 + k_3|u \times \text{curl } u|^2 \\ &+ (k_2 + k_4)\left(\text{tr}(\nabla u)^2 - (\text{div } u)^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

Here k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 are the Frank elastic constants, which are usually assumed to satisfy Ericksen's inequalities ([7], [2])

$$k_1 > 0,\ k_2 > |k_4|,\ k_3 > 0,\ 2k_1 \geq k_2 + k_4. \tag{1.3}$$

The first three terms on the right hand of (1.2) are associated with the splay, twist, bend characteristic deformations respectively, and the fourth term there is

Key words and phrases. Liquid crystal flow, Ericksen-Leslie system, Oseen-Frank model, Uniqueness.

responsible to the surface free energy term, which is a null Lagrangian, so that the integral

$$(k_2 + k_4) \int_\Omega \left(\mathrm{tr}(\nabla u)^2 - (\mathrm{div}\ u)^2 \right) dx$$

depends only on the boundary value of u. Based on a generalization of the static Oseen-Frank theory, Ericksen [6] described dynamic behaviors of nematic liquid crystals by using conservation laws from continuum fluid mechanics around the 1960s. Later, Leslie [22] proposed some constitutive equations for nematic liquid crystals and completed the hydrodynamic theory of liquid crystals. The Ericksen-Leslie theory now is one of the most successful theories for modelling the nematic liquid crystal flow.

In this paper, we investigate the Ericksen-Leslie system for the Oseen-Frank model with unequal elastic constants in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Let $v = (v^1, v^2, v^3)$ be the velocity field of the fluid, $u = (u^1, u^2, u^3)$ the unit director vector representing the preferred direction of molecular alignment with its density $W(u, \nabla u)$ and p the pressure. The Ericksen-Leslie system is:

$$\partial_t v^i + (v \cdot \nabla) v^i + \nabla_i p = \Delta v^i - \nabla_j (\nabla_i u^k W_{p_j^k}), \tag{1.4}$$

$$\nabla \cdot v = 0, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u^i + (v \cdot \nabla) u^i = {} & \nabla_\alpha \left(W_{p_\alpha^i} - u^k u^i W_{p_\alpha^k} \right) - W_{u^i} + W_{u^k} u^i u^k \\ & + W_{p_\alpha^k} \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i + W_{p_\alpha^k} u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

When $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = 1$ and $k_4 = 0$, $W(u, \nabla u) = |\nabla u|^2$ in (1.2) and the system (1.4)-(1.6), which is now called the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system, is a system of the Navier-Stokes equations coupled with the harmonic map flow.

The global existence of weak solutions to the Ericksen-Leslie system is a long-standing open problem since 1960s. In order to solve this challenging problem, Lin and Liu [27, 28] investigated the approximate Ericksen-Leslie system by the Ginzburg-Laudau functional and proved the global existence of the classical solution of the approximate Ericksen-Leslie system in 2D and the weak solution of the same system in 3D. However, Lin and Liu [28] were not able to show whether the limit of solutions $(v_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon)$ of the approximate Ericksen-Leslie systems as $\varepsilon \to 0$ satisfies the Ericksen-Leslie system, so there is an open problem whether the limit of solutions of the approximation system is a solution of the Ericksen-Leslie system. Based on the result of Struwe [33] on harmonic maps, Lin-Lin-Wang [24] and the first author [14] independently proved global existence of weak solutions, which is smooth away from at most finitely many singular times, to the Ericksen-Leslie system in dimension two. Concerning the effect of Leslie stress tensor, Huang-Lin-Wang [17] also obtained global existence and regularity of weak solutions in $\mathbb{R}^2$. The uniqueness of weak solutions to the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system in $\mathbb{R}^2$ was proved by Lin-Wang [25] and Xu-Zhang [38]. However, the question on the global existence to the Ericksen-Leslie system in dimension three remains unresolved. In view of the study on the Navier-Stokes equations, Huang-Wang [18] established the local well-posedness and blow-up criteria for the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system. This result was generalized by Wang-Zhang-Zhang [37] to the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system with Leslie stress tensor.

In order to prove global existence of weak solutions to the Ericksen-Leslie system, it is interesting to prove existence of solutions in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with rough initial data

(v_0, u_0). Indeed, Lin-Wang [26] proved global existence of weak solutions to the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system in dimension three with rough initial data $(v_0, u_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^3; S^2)$ with $\nabla \cdot v_0 = 0$ and u_0 satisfying the hemisphere condition. On the other hand, local and global existence of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations with rough initial data has been studied by many authors ([4, 19–21, 31]). In particular, Kato [19] proved local and global existence of the Navier-Stokes equations with initial data in $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Koch-Tartaru [20] investigated local and global existence of the Navier-Stokes equations with rough initial data in BMO^{-1}. Inspired by these results on the Navier-Stokes equations, Wang [34] established the well-posedness for the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system with rough initial data (v_0, u_0) in $BMO^{-1} \times BMO$. Hineman-Wang [12] obtained the local well-posedness to the simplified system with the uniformly locally L^3-integrable data of $(v_0, \nabla u_0)$ of small norm. Later, high order space-time regularities of the solution in [34] were established in [5, 29]. Very recently, Hieber et al. [11] proved existence of strong solutions to the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system in a bounded domain by using the maximal L_p-regularity theory for abstract quasi-linear parabolic problems.

When the Frank elastic constants k_i in (1.2) are unequal, the study of the Ericksen-Leslie system becomes very complicated. This is because, even in the static theory, the Euler-Lagrangian equation associated to the energy functional (1.1) is not standard elliptic for all possible k_i and the energy minimizer may not satisfy the energy monotonicity inequality, which holds for minimizing harmonic maps. In fact, Hardt-Kinderlehrer-Lin [10] proved existence and partial regularity of minimizers of the Oseen-Frank energy functional with unequal k_i, which is different from minimizing harmonic maps (see also [13]). For further discussion on the static equilibrium problem with unequal elastic constants, we refer to a recent survey paper by Ball [1]. As to the Ericksen-Leslie system with unequal k_i, the equation (1.6) for the director vector u is not a standard parabolic equation. In particular, the maximum principle for $|u|$ is invalid in general. Due to these additional difficulties, the study of the Ericksen-Leslie system with unequal k_i is more challenging than the study of the simplified system. Hong-Xin [16] proved global existence of weak solutions with regularities except for at most finitely many singular times to the Ericksen-Leslie system (1.4)-(1.6) in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Later, Wang-Wang [35] generalized this result to the case of the general Ericksen-Leslie system with Leslie stress tensor under certain constraints on the Leslie coefficients. The uniqueness of these global weak solutions in $\mathbb{R}^2$ was proved by Wang-Wang-Zhang [36] and Li-Titi-Xin [23] independently. For the three dimensional problem, Hong-Li-Xin [15] established the local well-posedness and blow-up criteria of strong solutions to the Ericksen-Leslie system (1.4)-(1.6) with initial data $(v_0, u_0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^2_b(\mathbb{R}^3, S^2)$ as well as the convergence of the Ginzburg-Landau approximation system in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Wang-Wang [35] proved the local well-posedness of strong solutions to the general Ericksen-Leslie system with Leslie stress tensor for an initial data (v_0, u_0) satisfying $(v_0, \nabla u_0) \in H^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $s \geq 2$.

The aim of this paper is to establish the well-posedness of (1.4)-(1.6) in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with rough initial data (v_0, u_0). Motivated by the work of Hineman-Wang [12], we will investigate this problem for initial data with $(v_0, \nabla u_0)$ in the uniformly locally L^3-integrable space $L^3_{uloc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. It should be remarked that $L^3_{uloc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a critical space for the Ericksen-Leslie system in the sense that under the scaling

$(v^\lambda, u^\lambda, p^\lambda)(x,t) = \big(\lambda v(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t), u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t), \lambda^2 p(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)\big)$, one has

$$\int_{B_{1/\lambda}} |v^\lambda|^3 + |\nabla u^\lambda|^3 \, dx = \int_{B_1} |v|^3 + |\nabla u|^3 \, dx$$

and the Ericksen-Leslie system is invariant. Before stating our main results, let us first recall the definition of the space $L^3_{uloc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Definition. *The uniformly locally L^3-integrable space is defined to be the set of all functions $f \in L^3_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{L^3_R(\mathbb{R}^3)} := \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \|f\|_{L^3(B_R(x))} < +\infty$$

for some $R > 0$.

One of our main results in this paper is the following existence theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *There exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ such that if $(v_0, u_0) : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2$ satisfying*

$$\|(v_0, \nabla u_0)\|_{L^3_{R_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le \varepsilon_0, \quad \lim_{x\to\infty} \fint_{B_1(x)} |u_0(y) - b|^2 dy = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot v_0 = 0 \tag{1.7}$$

for some constant unit vector b and $R_0 > 0$, then there exist a maximal time $T^* \ge \sigma R_0^2$ and a solution $(v,u) : \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T^*) \to \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2$ to (1.4)-(1.6) with initial data (v_0, u_0) such that

(i) *$(v, \nabla u) \in C_*([0,T^*), L^3_{uloc}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $\|(v, \nabla u)(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,\sigma R_0^2; L^3_{R_0}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \le C\varepsilon_0$ for some absolute constant C.*

(ii) *(v,u) is regular on $(0,T^*)$, i.e. $(v,u) \in C^\infty((0,T^*), \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2)$.*

(iii) *The maximal existence time T^* can be characterized by the condition that for any $0 < R < +\infty$, there is a $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that*

$$\limsup_{t\to T^*} \|(v, \nabla u)\|_{L^3(B_R(x_i))} > \varepsilon_0. \tag{1.8}$$

Here the notation $f \in C_*([0,T), L^3_{uloc}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ means that $t \mapsto f(t)$ is continuous in $L^3_{R_0}$- norm for any $t \in (0,T)$ and $f(t) \to f(0)$ in $L^3_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as $t \to 0$.

Theorem 1.1 generalizes the existence result of Hineman-Wang [12] for the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system. We would like to emphasize that our proof of Theorem 1.1 is different from the one in [12]. For the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system (i.e. $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = 1, k_4 = 0$), the principle term of the right hand of (1.6) is Δu, so one has the following property:

$$\int_{B_R} \langle \Delta \nabla u, |\nabla u| \nabla u \rangle \, \phi^2 \, dx = -\int_{B_R} |\nabla u| \left[|\nabla u^2|^2 + |\nabla |\nabla u||^2 \right] \phi^2 \, dx + \text{low term}.$$

Using this property, Hineman-Wang [12] got the key local decay L^3-estimate for the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system under small L^3_{uloc}-norm of $(v_0, \nabla u_0)$. However, when k_1, k_2, k_3 are unequal, the principle term of the right hand of (1.6) is $\nabla_\alpha(W_{p^i_\alpha}(u, \nabla u))$, which is completely different from Δu^i, so we cannot expect to follow the same idea in [12] to get the L^3-energy-dissipative structure. To overcome this difficulty, we present a new method to derive the local L^3-estimate for the rough initial data (v_0, u_0) satisfying (1.7). More precisely, we observe that the L^2-energy-dissipative structure for the nonlinear term in (1.6) always holds true due to $|u| = 1$. Hence, under the assumption that the local L^3-energy of $(v, \nabla u)$ is small, we first derive two key estimates for $\frac{1}{R}\int_{B_R(x_0)} |v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 dx$ and $R\int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|^2 dx$

(away from the initial time) by standard energy methods and covering arguments. Furthermore, we verify the smallness assumption of local L^3-energy of $(v, \nabla u)$ based on these local estimates and the following interpolation inequality (c.f. [3])

$$\int_{B_r} |f|^3 dx \le C(\frac{1}{r}\int_{B_r} |f|^2 dx)^{\frac{3}{4}}(r\int_{B_r} |\nabla f|^2 dx)^{\frac{3}{4}} + C(\frac{1}{r}\int_{B_r} |f|^2 dx)^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

In this process, we need three different kinds of space-time estimates for the pressure in $L^{\frac{3}{2}}$, L^2 and L^3. The proof of these key estimates on the pressure is based on the well-known Calderon-Zygmund theory (c.f [32]) and covering arguments. Then, we obtain a uniform estimate in $L^3_{uloc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of $(v, \nabla u)$ and uniform lower bounds of the existence time by complicated covering arguments (see Section 4 for more details). Moreover, with the uniform estimates of the small local L^3-norm of $(v, \nabla u)$ in hand, we derive local higher regularity estimates such as $\int_B |\nabla^{k+1} u|^2 + |\nabla^k v|^2\, dx$ for any $k \ge 1$ based on a standard energy method and an induction argument. Such kind of local higher regularity estimates was established by Huang-Lin-Wang [17] for the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system with Leslie stress tensor in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and similar global higher regularity estimates were obtained by Wang-Wang [35] for the general Ericksen-Leslie system in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Finally, the characterization of maximal time is proved by contradiction.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we have the following global existence result:

Corollary 1.2. *Let (v_0, u_0) be the initial data in $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3) \times \dot{W}^{1,3}(\mathbb{R}^3, S^2)$ with $\nabla \cdot v_0 = 0$ and $u_0(x) \to b$ as $|x| \to \infty$ for some unit vector b. Then there exists a positive constant ε_0 such that if*

$$\|v_0\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{W}^{1,3}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le \varepsilon_0, \tag{1.9}$$

then the system (1.4)-(1.6) with the initial data (v_0, u_0) has a solution (v, u) such that

$$(v, u) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, +\infty)) \cap C([0, +\infty), L^3(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3) \times \dot{W}^{1,3}(\mathbb{R}^3, S^2)).$$

Here $\dot{W}^{1,3}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ denotes the standard homogeneous Sobolev spaces.

In the second part of this paper, we prove the uniqueness of L^3_{uloc}-solutions in Theorem 1.1. We set

$$H^1_b(\mathbb{R}^3; S^2) = \{u : u - b \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3), \text{ and } |u| = 1 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3\}$$

for some $b \in S^2$. Then, our uniqueness theorem is stated as follows:

Theorem 1.3. *Let (v_0, u_0) be the initial data satisfying the assumption (1.7) in Theorem 1.1. Moreover, assume that (v_0, u_0) satisfy*

$$(v_0, u_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3) \times H^1_b(\mathbb{R}^3; S^2). \tag{1.10}$$

Then, the solution to (1.4)-(1.6) with initial data (v_0, u_0) is unique.

To prove the uniqueness of weak solutions to the Ericksen-Leslie system, we use the idea of Li-Titi-Xin [23] by introducing the vector field $(-\Delta + I)^{-1} v$, but they needed a condition of k_1, k_2, k_3 being close to a positive constant to handle the terms involving $W(u, \nabla u)$. In this paper, we are able to remove the restriction of the Frank constants k_i in the L^2-norm estimate of the difference of two solutions to the director equation obtained in [23] by using the rotation invariant property of $W(u, \nabla u)$. The similar idea was also used by the first author [13] to prove the partial regularity of weak solutions to the static Oseen-Frank system. Furthermore,

the idea of proving Theorem 1.3 can also be used to prove the uniqueness of weak solutions to (1.4)-(1.6) in $\mathbb{R}^2$, which gives an affirmative answer to the uniqueness question in [16]. Comparing with the uniqueness result of Wang-Wang-Zhang [36] in $\mathbb{R}^2$ by using the Littlewood-Paley theory, our method in the L^2 framework seems much simpler than theirs. It should be remarked that Hineman-Wang [12] proved the uniqueness of L^3_{ulco}-solutions to the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system without the initial finite energy assumption (1.10). However, it seems difficult for us to apply the same idea, which relies on the properties of heat kernel, to the system (1.4)-(1.6) with unequal k_i.

Remark 1.4. *For the general Ericksen-Leslie system with Leslie stress tensor, the energy-dissipation law is complicated and the local pressure estimates even for the simplified case require much more technique details, so we will investigate this problem in a forthcoming paper.*

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove some key local a prior estimates to the Ericksen-Leslie system (1.4)-(1.6). In Section 3, higher regularity estimates are derived under a smallness assumption. In Section 4, we prove existence of L^3_{uloc}-solutions and characterize the maximal existence time. Finally, in Section 5, we prove the uniqueness of L^3_{uloc}-solutions.

2. **Key local estimates**

In this section, we derive a priori estimates for smooth solutions to the Ericksen-Leslie system (1.4)-(1.6). Under the physical constrain condition (1.3), it is clear that there exists a $a = \min\{k_2, k_3, k_2 + k_4\} > 0$ such that

$$W(z,p) \geq a|p|^2, \quad W_{p^i_\alpha p^j_\beta}(z,p)\xi^i_\alpha \xi^j_\beta \geq a|\xi|^2, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^3, p, \xi \in \mathbb{M}^{3\times 3}, \tag{2.1}$$

which follows from the identities

$$|\nabla u|^2 = \mathrm{tr}(\nabla u)^2 + |\mathrm{curl}\ u|^2, \quad |\mathrm{curl}\ u|^2 = (u \cdot \mathrm{curl}\ u)^2 + |u \times \mathrm{curl}\ u|^2.$$

Moreover, $W(u,p)$ is quadratic in both u and p which yields that

$$\begin{aligned} &|W(u,\nabla u)| \leq C|u|^2|\nabla u|^2, \quad |W_{u^i}(u,\nabla u)| \leq C|u||\nabla u|^2, \\ &|W_{u^i u^j}(u,\nabla u)| \leq C|\nabla u|^2, \quad |W_{p^i_\alpha}(u,\nabla u)| \leq C|u|^2|\nabla u|, \\ &|W_{p^i_\alpha p^j_\beta}(u,\nabla u)| \leq C|u|^2, \quad |W_{u^i p^j_\beta}(u,\nabla u)| \leq C|u||\nabla u|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

In order to obtain the a priori estimates, we start from the following local energy inequality.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (u,v) be a smooth solution to (1.4)-(1.6) in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0,T)$. Then for any $\phi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it holds that, for any $t \in (0,T)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|v(t)|^2 + |\nabla u(t)|^2)\phi^2 dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla v|^2 + a|\nabla^2 u|^2)\phi^2 dxds \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|v_0|^2 + |\nabla u_0|^2)\phi^2 dx + 4\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (p - c(s))v \cdot \nabla\phi\phi dxds \\ &\quad + C\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|v|^4 + |\nabla u|^4)\phi^2 dxds + C\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2)|\nabla\phi|^2 dxds, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $c(t)$ is an arbitrary temporal function and C is an absolute constant.

Proof. Multiplying (1.4) by $v^i\phi^2$, integrating by parts, and using (1.5), (2.2) and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|v|^2\phi^2dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla v|^2\phi^2dx\\
&=2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(p-c(t))v\cdot\nabla\phi\phi dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|v|^2v\cdot\nabla\phi\phi dx+2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\phi v^i\nabla v^i\cdot\nabla\phi dx\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla_i u^k W_{p_j^k}\nabla_j v^i\phi^2dx+2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla_i u^k W_{p_j^k}v^i\nabla_j\phi\phi dx,\\
&\le\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla v|^2\phi^2dx+2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(p-c(t))v\cdot\nabla\phi\phi dx\\
&\quad+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|v|^4+|\nabla u|^4)\phi^2dxds+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|v|^2|\nabla\phi|^2dx,
\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

where $c(t)$ is a temporal function to be chosen later.

Multiplying (1.6) by $\Delta u^i\phi^2$ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t u^i\Delta u^i\phi^2dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(v\cdot\nabla)u^i\Delta u^i\phi^2dx\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla_\alpha W_{p_\alpha^i}\Delta u_i\phi^2dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla_\alpha\left(u^ku^iW_{p_\alpha^k}\right)\Delta u_i\phi^2dx\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}W_{p_\alpha^k}u^k\nabla_\alpha u^i\Delta u^i\phi^2dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}W_{u^i}(\Delta u^i-u^iu^k\Delta u^k)\phi^2dx\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}W_{p_\alpha^k}\nabla_\alpha u^ku^i\Delta u^i\phi^2dx.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

Integrating by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t u^i\Delta u^i\phi^2dx&=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla u_t^i\nabla u^i\phi^2dx-2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}u_t^i\nabla u^i\phi\nabla\phi dx\\
&=-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2\phi^2dx-2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}u_t^i\nabla u^i\phi\nabla\phi dx.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.6}$$

Integrating by parts twice and using $\nabla_\beta W_{p_\alpha^i}=W_{p_\alpha^ip_\gamma^j}\nabla^2_{\beta\gamma}u^j+W_{p_\alpha^iu^j}\nabla_\beta u^j$ yield

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla_\alpha W_{p_\alpha^i}\Delta u^i\phi^2dx\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla_\beta W_{p_\alpha^i}\nabla^2_{\beta\alpha}u^i\phi^2dx+2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}W_{p_\alpha^i}\left(\nabla^2_{\beta\alpha}u^i\nabla_\beta\phi-\Delta u^i\nabla_\alpha\phi\right)\phi dx\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}W_{p_\alpha^ip_\gamma^j}\nabla^2_{\beta\gamma}u^j\nabla^2_{\beta\alpha}u^i\phi^2dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}W_{p_\alpha^iu^j}\nabla_\beta u^j\nabla^2_{\beta\alpha}u^i\phi^2dx\\
&\quad+2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}W_{p_\alpha^i}\left(\nabla^2_{\beta\alpha}u^i\nabla_\beta\phi-\Delta u^i\nabla_\alpha\phi\right)\phi dx.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.7}$$

Noting that $-u^i\Delta u^i = |\nabla u|^2$ due to $|u| = 1$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_\alpha \left(u^k u^i W_{p^k_\alpha}\right) \Delta u_i \phi^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{p^k_\alpha} u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i \Delta u^i \phi^2 dx \\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(u^k \nabla_\alpha W_{p^k_\alpha} + \nabla_\alpha u^k W_{p^k_\alpha}\right) u^i \Delta u^i \phi^2 dx \qquad (2.8)\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(u^k W_{p^k_\alpha p^j_\beta} \nabla^2_{\alpha\beta} u^j + u^k W_{p^k_\alpha u^j} \nabla_\alpha u^j + \nabla_\alpha u^k W_{p^k_\alpha}\right) |\nabla u|^2 \phi^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.6)-(2.8) into (2.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \phi^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{p^i_\alpha p^j_\gamma} \nabla^2_{\beta\gamma} u^j \nabla^2_{\beta\alpha} u^i \phi^2 dx \\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{p^i_\alpha u^j} \nabla_\beta u^j \nabla^2_{\beta\alpha} u^i \phi^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{p^k_\alpha} \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i \Delta u^i \phi^2 dx \\
&\quad -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(u^k W_{p^k_\alpha p^j_\beta} \nabla^2_{\alpha\beta} u^j + u^k W_{p^k_\alpha u^j} \nabla_\alpha u^j + \nabla_\alpha u^k W_{p^k_\alpha}\right) |\nabla u|^2 \phi^2 dx \qquad (2.9)\\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{u^i} (\Delta u^i - u^i u^k \Delta u^k) \phi^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v \cdot \nabla u^i \Delta u^i \phi^2 dx \\
&\quad - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{p^i_\alpha} \left(\nabla^2_{\beta\alpha} u^i \nabla_\beta \phi - \Delta u^i \nabla_\alpha \phi\right) \phi dx - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^i_t \nabla u^i \phi \nabla \phi dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from (2.1), (2.2) and Young's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 \phi^2 dx + a\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^2 u|^2 \phi^2 dx \\
&\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + |v||\nabla u|) |\nabla^2 u| \phi^2 dx \qquad (2.10)\\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|v||\nabla u| + |\nabla^2 u| + |\nabla u|^2) |\nabla u| \phi |\nabla \phi| dx \\
&\le \frac{a}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^2 u|^2 \phi^2 dx + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|v|^4 + |\nabla u|^4) \phi^2 dx + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $|\nabla u|^2 = |u \cdot \Delta u| \le |\nabla^2 u|$ and $|\partial_t u| \le |v||\nabla u| + |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|$. Summing (2.4) with (2.10) and integrating over $[0, t]$, we prove (2.3). □

Lemma 2.2. *Let (u, v) be a smooth solution to (1.4)-(1.6) in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$. Then for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it holds that, for any $t \in (\tau, T)$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla v(t)|^2 + |\nabla^2 u(t)|^2) \phi^6 dx + \int_\tau^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla^2 v|^2 + a|\nabla^3 u|^2) \phi^6 dx ds \\
&\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla v(\tau)|^2 + |\nabla^2 u(\tau)|^2) \phi^6 dx \\
&\quad + 12\int_\tau^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (p - c(s)) (\nabla v^i \nabla_i (\phi^5 \nabla \phi) - \Delta v^i \phi^5 \nabla_i \phi) dx ds \qquad (2.11)\\
&\quad + C\int_\tau^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2)(|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|^2) \phi^6 dx ds \\
&\quad + C\int_\tau^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) |\nabla \phi|^2 \phi^4 dx ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where $c(t)$ is an arbitrary temporal function and C is an absolute constant.

Proof. Multiplying (1.4) by $\Delta v^i\phi^6$ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t v^i\Delta v^i\phi^6dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\Delta v|^2\phi^6dx=&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}v\cdot\nabla v^i\Delta v^i\phi^6dx\\&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla_i p\Delta v^i\phi^6dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla_j\left(\nabla_i u^k W_{p_j^k}\right)\Delta v^i\phi^6dx.\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

It follows from integrating by parts, using (1.4) and (1.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}v_t^i\Delta v^i\phi^6dx&=-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla v|^2\phi^6dx+6\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}v_t^i\nabla v^i\phi^5\nabla\phi dx\\&=-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla v|^2\phi^6dx-6\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}v\cdot\nabla v^i\nabla v^i\phi^5\nabla\phi dx\\&\quad+6\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(p-c)\nabla v^i\nabla_i(\phi^5\nabla\phi)dx+6\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta v^i\nabla v^i\phi^5\nabla\phi dx\\&\quad-6\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla_j(\nabla_i u^k W_{p_j^k})\nabla v^i\phi^5\nabla\phi dx,\end{aligned}\tag{2.13}$$

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\Delta v|^2\phi^6dx=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2 v|\phi^6dx-6\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla_i v(\nabla_{ij}^2 v\nabla_j\phi-\Delta v\nabla_i\phi)\phi^5dx\tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla_i p\Delta v^i\phi^6dx=-6\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(p-c)\Delta v^i\phi^5\nabla_i\phi dx,\tag{2.15}$$

where $c(t)\in\mathbb{R}$ is a temporal function to be chosen later. Substituting (2.13)-(2.15) into (2.12), and using (2.2) and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla v|^2\phi^6dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2 v|^2\phi^6dx\\&=6\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(p-c)\left(\nabla v^i\nabla_i(\phi^5\nabla\phi)-\Delta v^i\phi^5\nabla_i\phi\right)dx\\&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(v\cdot\nabla v^i+\nabla_j(\nabla_i u^k W_{p_j^k})\right)\left(\Delta v^i\phi^6-6\nabla v^i\phi^5\nabla\phi\right)dx\\&\quad+6\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta v^i\nabla v^i\phi^5\nabla\phi-6\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla_i v(\nabla_{ij}^2 v\nabla_j\phi-\Delta v\nabla_i\phi)\phi^5dx\\&\le\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2 v|^2\phi^6dx+6\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(p-c)\left(\nabla v^i\nabla_i(\phi^5\nabla\phi)-\Delta v^i\phi^5\nabla_i\phi\right)dx\\&\quad+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|v|^2|\nabla v|^2+|\nabla u|^2|\nabla^2 u|^2)\phi^6dx+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla v|^2|\nabla\phi|^2\phi^4dx.\end{aligned}\tag{2.16}$$

Differentiating (1.6) in x_β, multiplying the resulting equation by $-\nabla_\beta \Delta u^i \phi^6$ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$ give

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \nabla_\beta u^i \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i \phi^6 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^2_{\beta\alpha} W_{p^i_\alpha} \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i \phi^6 dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_\beta \left(\nabla_\alpha (u^k u^i W_{p^k_\alpha}) - W_{p^k_\alpha} u^k \nabla_\alpha u^i \right) \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i \phi^6 dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_\beta (v \cdot \nabla u^i) \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i \phi^6 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_\beta W_{u^i} \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i \phi^6 dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_\beta \left(W_{u^k} u^k u^i + W_{p^k_\alpha} \nabla_\alpha u^k u^i \right) \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i \phi^6 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Integrating by parts yields that

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \nabla_\beta u^i \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i \phi^6 dx = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^2 u|^2 \phi^6 dx + 6 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \nabla_\beta u^i \nabla^2_{\beta\alpha} u^i \phi^5 \nabla_\alpha \phi dx. \tag{2.18}$$

It follows from Young's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
&6 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_t \nabla_\beta u^i \nabla^2_{\beta\alpha} u^i \phi^3 \nabla_\alpha \phi dx \\
&\leq \frac{a}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^3 u|^2 \phi^6 dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\nabla^2 u|^2) |\nabla \phi|^2 \phi^4 dx \\
&\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2) |\nabla^2 u|^2 \phi^6 dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

where we have used

$$|\partial_t \nabla u| \leq C(|\nabla v||\nabla u| + |v||\nabla^2 u| + |\nabla u||\nabla^2 u| + |\nabla^3 u|),$$

which follows from (1.6) and (2.2). Integrating by parts twice, we note

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^2_{\beta\alpha} W_{p^i_\alpha} \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i \phi^4 dx =& 6 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_\beta W_{p^i_\alpha} (\nabla^3_{\alpha\beta\gamma} u^i \nabla_\gamma \phi - \nabla_\beta \Delta u^i \nabla_\alpha \phi) \phi^5 dx \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^2_{\gamma\beta} W_{p^i_\alpha} \nabla^3_{\alpha\beta\gamma} u^i \phi^6 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Since $W(u,p)$ is quadratic in u and p, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^2_{\gamma\beta} W_{p^i_\alpha} =& \nabla_\gamma \left(W_{u^j p^i_\alpha}(u, \nabla u) \nabla_\beta u^j + W_{p^i_\alpha}(u, \nabla \nabla_\beta u) \right) \\
=& W_{u^j p^i_\alpha}(u, \nabla u) \nabla^2_{\gamma\beta} u^j + W_{u^j p^i_\alpha}(\nabla_\gamma u, \nabla u) \nabla_\beta u^j \\
&+ W_{u^j p^i_\alpha}(u, \nabla_\gamma \nabla u) \nabla_\beta u^j + W_{u^j p^i_\alpha}(u, \nabla \nabla_\beta u) \nabla_\gamma u^j \\
&+ W_{p^j_l p^i_\alpha}(u, \nabla \nabla_\beta u) \nabla^3_{\beta\gamma l} u^j.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.1) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{p^i_\alpha p^j_l}(u, \nabla_\beta \nabla u) \nabla^3_{\beta\gamma l} u^j \nabla^3_{\alpha\beta\gamma} u^i \phi^6 dx \geq a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^3 u|^2 \phi^6 dx. \tag{2.21}$$

By using (2.2) and Young's inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}[W_{u^jp^i_\alpha}(u,\nabla u)\nabla_{\gamma\beta}u^j+W_{u^jp^i_\alpha}(u,\nabla_\gamma\nabla u)\nabla_\beta u^j\\&\quad+W_{u^jp^i_\alpha}(\nabla_\gamma u,\nabla u)\nabla_\beta u^j+W_{u^jp^i_\alpha}(u,\nabla_\beta\nabla u)\nabla_\gamma u^j]\nabla^3_{\alpha\beta\gamma}u^i\phi^6dx\\&\le\frac{a}{16}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^3u|^2\phi^6dx+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2|\nabla^2u|^2\phi^6dx,\end{aligned}\tag{2.22}$$

where we have used the fact $|\nabla u|^4=|\Delta u|^2$ for $|u|=1$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}&6\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla_\beta W_{p^i_\alpha}(\nabla^3_{\alpha\beta\gamma}u^i\nabla_\gamma\phi-\nabla_\beta\Delta u^i\nabla_\alpha\phi)\phi^5dx\\&\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla u|^2+|\nabla^2u|)|\nabla^3u||\nabla\phi|\phi^5dx\\&\le\frac{a}{16}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^3u|^2\phi^6dx+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\nabla^2u|^2+|\nabla u|^4)|\nabla\phi|^2\phi^4dx.\end{aligned}\tag{2.23}$$

Substituting (2.21)-(2.23) into (2.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^2_{\beta\alpha}W_{p^i_\alpha}\nabla_\beta\Delta u^i\phi^6dx\\&\ge\frac{7a}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^3u|^2\phi^6dx-C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2|\nabla^2u|^2\phi^6dx-C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2u|^2|\nabla\phi|^2\phi^4dx.\end{aligned}\tag{2.24}$$

For the terms on the right hand of (2.17), since $|u|^2=1$ implies

$$u^i\nabla_\beta\Delta u^i+\nabla_\beta u^i\Delta u^i=-\nabla_\beta|\nabla u|^2,$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla_\beta\left(\nabla_\alpha(u^ku^iW_{p^k_\alpha})+W_{p^k_\alpha}u^k\nabla u^i\right)\nabla_\beta\Delta u^i\phi^6dx\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^2_{\alpha\beta}\left(u^kW_{p^k_\alpha}\right)u^i\nabla_\beta\Delta u^i\phi^6dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla_\beta u^i\nabla_\alpha\left(u^kW_{p^k_\alpha}\right)\nabla_\beta\Delta u^i\phi^6dx\\&\le\frac{a}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^3u|^2\phi^6dx+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2|\nabla^2u|^2\phi^6dx.\end{aligned}\tag{2.25}$$

From (2.2), other remaining terms on the right hand of (2.17) can be easily controlled by

$$\frac{a}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^3u|^2\phi^6dx+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla^2u|^2|\nabla u|^2+|v|^2|\nabla^2u|^2+|\nabla v|^2|\nabla u|^2)\phi^6dx.\tag{2.26}$$

Substituting (2.18), (2.19) and (2.24)-(2.26) into (2.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2u|^2\phi^6dx+\frac{a}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^3u|^2\phi^6dx\\&\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|v|^2+|\nabla u|^2)|\nabla^2u|^2\phi^6dx+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2u||\nabla\phi|^2\phi^4dx.\end{aligned}\tag{2.27}$$

Summing (2.16) with (2.27) and integrating over $[\tau,t]$ give (2.11). □

The following lemma gives local estimates of pressure under the smallness assumption of L^3 norm of $(v,\nabla u)$. The idea of proof, which has been used in [12,21], relies on the well-known Calderon-Zygmund theory [32] and covering arguments.

Lemma 2.3. *Let (u, v) be a smooth solution to (1.4)-(1.6) in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$ and ϕ be a cut-off function satisfying $0 \le \phi \le 1$, supp $\phi \subset B_R(x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $|\nabla\phi| \le \frac{C}{R}$. Assume that*

$$\sup_{0\le s\le t, y\in\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_R(y)} |\nabla u(x,s)|^3 + |v(x,s)|^3 dx \le \varepsilon_1^3. \tag{2.28}$$

Then, there exists a $c(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the pressure p satisfies the follow estimates

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (p - c(s))^{\frac{3}{2}} \phi^{\frac{3}{2}} dxds \le C\varepsilon_1^3 t, \tag{2.29}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (p - c(s))^2 \phi^2 dxds &\le C\varepsilon_1^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2)\phi^2 dxds \\ &\quad + \frac{C\varepsilon_1^2 t}{R^2}\Big(\sup_{0\le s\le t, y\in\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(y)} |\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2 dx\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

$$\int_\tau^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (p - c(s))^3 \phi^6 dxds \le C\int_\tau^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^6 + |v|^6)\phi^6 dx + \frac{C(t-\tau)}{R^3}\varepsilon_1^6. \tag{2.31}$$

Proof. Taking divergence in both sides of (1.4), the pressure p satisfies the elliptic equation

$$-\Delta p = \nabla_{ij}[\nabla_i u^k W_{p_j^k} + v^j v^i], \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T],$$

which implies

$$p = \mathcal{R}_i\mathcal{R}_j(F^{ij}), \quad F^{ij} := \nabla_i u^k W_{p_j^k} + v^j v^i,$$

where $\mathcal{R}_i$ is the i-th Riesz transform on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then, we have

$$(p - c)\phi = \mathcal{R}_i\mathcal{R}_j(F^{ij}\phi) + [\phi, \mathcal{R}_i\mathcal{R}_j](F^{ij}) - c\phi \tag{2.32}$$

for a cut-off function ϕ, where the commutator $[\phi, \mathcal{R}_i\mathcal{R}_j]$ is defined by

$$[\phi, \mathcal{R}_i\mathcal{R}_j](\cdot) = \phi\mathcal{R}_i\mathcal{R}_j(\cdot) - \mathcal{R}_i\mathcal{R}_j(\cdot\,\phi).$$

Since $|F^{ij}| \le C(|\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2)$ and the Riesz operator maps L^q into L^q spaces for any $1 < q < +\infty$, we have

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{R}_i\mathcal{R}_j(F^{ij}\phi)|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxds \le \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^3 + |v|^3)\phi^{\frac{3}{2}} dxds \le \varepsilon_1^3 t, \tag{2.33}$$

(2.34)

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{R}_i\mathcal{R}_j(F^{ij}\phi)|^2 dxds \le \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^4 + |v|^4)\phi^2 dxds \\ &\le \int_0^t \left(\int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^3 + |v|^3 dx\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u\phi|^6 + |v\phi|^6 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} ds \\ &\le C\varepsilon_1^2 \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2)\phi^2 dxds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2)|\nabla\phi|^2 dxds\right) \\ &\le C\varepsilon_1^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2)\phi^2 dxds + \frac{C\varepsilon_1^2 t}{R^2} \sup_{0\le s\le t, y\in\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(y)} |\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2 dx\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_\tau^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{R}_i\mathcal{R}_j(F^{ij}\phi^2)|^3 dxds \le \int_\tau^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^6 + |v|^6)\phi^6 dxds, \tag{2.35}$$

where we have used the covering of ball $B_R(x_0)$ by a fixed number of balls with radius $\frac{R}{2}$ in the last step of (2.34).

Now, we estimate the commutator. Since $\operatorname{supp}\phi \subset B_R(x_0)$, the commutator can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\phi, \mathcal{R}_i\mathcal{R}_j](F^{ij})(x,t) - c(t)\phi(x)\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(\phi(x)-\phi(y))(x_i-y_i)(x_j-y_j)}{|x-y|^5} F^{ij}(y,t)dy - c(t)\phi(x)\\
&= \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} \frac{(\phi(x)-\phi(y))(x_i-y_i)(x_j-y_j)}{|x-y|^5} F^{ij}(y,t)dy\\
&\quad + \phi(x)\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus B_{2R}(x_0)} \frac{(x_i-y_i)(x_j-y_j)}{|x-y|^5} F^{ij}(y,t)dy - c(t)\Big]\\
&=: f_1(x,t) + f_2(x,t).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

Note that

$$|f_1(x,t)| \le CR^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(|\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2)\chi_{B_{2R(x_0)}}}{|x-y|^2} dy,$$

and the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality hold by

$$\|I_\alpha(f)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\alpha}{n},$$

where $I_\alpha(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy$. Then it follows from Hölder's inequality and a standard covering argument that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f_1(x,s)|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxds\\
&\le CR^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^t \|(|\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2)\chi_{B_{2R}(x_0)}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{\frac{3}{2}} ds\\
&\le C\int_0^t \int_{B_{2R(x_0)}} |\nabla u|^3 + |v|^3 dxds\\
&\le Ct \sup_{0\le s\le t, y\in\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(y)} |\nabla u|^3 + |v|^3 dx \le C\varepsilon_1^3 t.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f_1(x,s)|^2 dxds \le CR^{-2} \int_0^t \|(|\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2)\chi_{B_{2R(x_0)}}\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 ds\\
&\le CR^{-2} \int_0^t \|(|\nabla u| + |v|)\chi_{B_{2r}(x_0)}\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \|(|\nabla u| + |v|)\chi_{B_{2r}(x_0)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 ds\\
&\le \frac{C\varepsilon_1^2}{R^2} \int_0^t \int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2) dx \le \frac{C\varepsilon_1^2 t}{R^2} \sup_{0\le s\le t, y\in\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(y)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2) dx
\end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

and

$$\int_\tau^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f_1(x,s)|^3 dxds \le CR^{-3} \int_\tau^t \|(|\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2)\chi_{B_{2R}(y)}\|^3_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} ds$$

$$\le CR^{-3} \int_\tau^t \left(\int_{B_{2R}(y)} |\nabla u|^3 + |v|^3 dx \right)^2 ds \tag{2.39}$$

$$\le \frac{C(t-\tau)}{R^3} (\sup_{\tau \le s \le t, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_0)} |\nabla u|^3 + |v|^3 dx)^2 \le \frac{C\varepsilon_1^6 (t-\tau)}{R^3}.$$

As in Lemma 3.2 of [12], to estimate the term involving $f_2(x,t)$, we choose

$$c(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{2R}(x_0)} \frac{(x_{0i} - y_i)(x_{0j} - y_j)}{|x_0 - y|^5} F^{ij}(y,t) dy,$$

which is finite for any approximation data $(v,u) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, one has

$$|f_2(x,t)| \le \left| \phi(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{2R}(x_0)} \left(\frac{(x_i - y_i)(x_j - y_j)}{|x-y|^5} - \frac{(x_{0i} - y_i)(x_{0j} - y_j)}{|x_0 - y|^5} \right) F^{ij}(y,t) dy \right|$$

$$\le CR\phi(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{2R}(x_0)} \frac{(|\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2)(y)}{|x_0 - y|^4} dy$$

due to the fact (c.f. [32]) that

$$\left| \frac{(x_i - y_i)(x_j - y_j)}{|x_- y|^5} - \frac{(x_{0i} - y_i)(x_{0j} - y_j)}{|x_0 - y|^5} \right| \le C \frac{|x_0 - x|}{|x_0 - y|^4}.$$

Using Hölder's inequality and a standard covering argument, we obtain

$$|f_2(x,t)| \le CR^{-3} \phi(x) \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_R(y)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2) dx$$

which implies

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f_2(x,t)|^{\frac{3}{2}} dxds \le C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(R^{-3} \phi(x) \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_R(y)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2) \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} dxds$$

$$\le CR^{-3} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} (\int_{B_R(y)} |\nabla u|^3 + |v|^3)^{\frac{2}{3}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} dxds \le C\varepsilon_1^3 t, \tag{2.40}$$

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f_2(x,t)|^2 dxds \le C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(R^{-3} \phi(x) \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_R(y)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2) \right)^2 dxds$$

$$\le CR^{-5} \varepsilon_1^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi^2 \left(\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(y)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2) \right) dxds$$

$$\le \frac{Ct}{R^2} \varepsilon_1^2 \sup_{0 \le s \le t, y \in R^3} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(y)} (|\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2) dx \tag{2.41}$$

and

(2.42)
$$\int_\tau^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f_2(x,t)|^3dxds\le C\int_\tau^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(R^{-3}\phi(x)\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{B_R(y)}(|\nabla u|^2+|v|^2)\right)^3dxds$$
$$\le C\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(R^{-2}\phi(x)\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^3}(\int_{B_R(y)}(|\nabla u|^3+|v|^3))^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)^3dxds\le\frac{C\varepsilon_1^6(t-\tau)}{R^3}.$$

Collecting (2.32), (2.33), (2.36), (2.37) and (2.40) yields (2.29). Next, combining (2.32), (2.36), (2.34), (2.38) with (2.41) gives (2.30). Finally, (2.31) follows from (2.32), (2.35), (2.36), (2.39) and (2.42). Therefore, the desired results are obtained. □

Based on the above local estimates lemmas, we have the following propositions.

Proposition 2.4. *Let (u,v) be a smooth solution to (1.4)-(1.6) in $\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,T)$. Then, there are constants $\varepsilon_1>0$ and $\sigma>0$ such that, if*

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{0\le s\le\sigma R^2,y\in\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{B_{R_0}(y)}|\nabla u(x,s)|^3+|v(x,s)|^3dx<\varepsilon_1^3 \tag{2.43}$$

for some $R_0,R>0$, then for any $x_0\in\mathbb{R}^3$, $r\le R_0$ and $t\le\min\{\sigma r^2,\sigma R^2\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|v(t)|^2+|\nabla u(t)|^2)\phi^2dx+\frac{1}{r}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla v|^2+|\nabla^2u|^2)\phi^2dxds\\&\le\frac{1}{r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|v_0|^2+|\nabla u_0|^2)\phi^2dx+\frac{C}{r}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|v|^2+|\nabla u|^2)|\nabla\phi|^2dxds\\&\quad+\frac{C}{r}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(p-c(s))v\cdot\nabla\phi\phi dxds,\end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

where ϕ is the cut-off function compactly supported in $B_r(x_0)$ with $\phi\equiv1$ on $B_{\frac{r}{2}}(x_0)$ and $|\nabla\phi|\le Cr^{-1}$. Moreover, it holds for any $x_0\in\mathbb{R}^3$, $r\le R_0$ and $t\le\min\{\sigma r^2,\sigma R^2\}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{r}\int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}(x_0)}|v(t)|^2+|\nabla u(t)|^2dx+\frac{1}{r}\int_0^t\int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}(x_0)}|\nabla v|^2+|\nabla^2u|^2dxds\\&\le\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{C}{r}\int_{B_r(y)}|v_0|^2+|\nabla u_0|^2dx.\end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

Proof. For any $x_0\in\mathbb{R}^3$ and $r\le R_0$, let ϕ in (2.3) be a cut-off function compactly supported in $B_r(x_0)$ with $\phi\equiv1$ on $B_{\frac{r}{2}}(x_0)$ and $|\nabla\phi|\le Cr^{-1}$. It follows from Hölder's inequality, the Sobolev embedding theorem and (2.49) that

$$\begin{aligned}&C\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla u|^4+|v|^4)\phi^2dx\\&\le C\int_0^t\left(\int_{B_r(x_0)}|\nabla u|^3+|v|^3\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\phi\nabla u|^6+|\phi v|^6)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}ds\\&\le C\varepsilon_1^2\left(\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla^2u|^2+|\nabla v|^2)\phi^2dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla u|^2+|v|^2)|\nabla\phi|^2dx\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

Choosing ε_1 small enough such that $C\varepsilon_1 < \min\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{a}{4}\}$ and substituting (2.46) into (2.3), we complete the proof of (2.44). To prove (2.45), we use covering arguments to estimate terms on the right hand side of (2.44). It follows from Young's equality, (2.30) and covering argument that

(2.47)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&C\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(p-c(s))v\cdot\nabla\phi\phi dxds\\
&\le \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(p-c(s))^2\phi^2 dxds + C\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|v|^2|\nabla\phi|^2 dxds\\
&\le C\varepsilon_1^2\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla^2 u|^2+|\nabla v|^2)\phi^2 dxds + \frac{C\varepsilon_1^2 t}{r^2}\left(\sup_{0\le s\le t, y\in\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}(y)}|\nabla u|^2+|v|^2 dx\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
C\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla u|^2+|v|^2)|\nabla\phi|^2 dxds \le \frac{Ct}{r^2}\sup_{0\le s\le t, y\in\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}(y)}|\nabla u|^2+|v|^2 dx. \tag{2.48}
$$

Substituting (2.46)-(2.48) into (2.3), dividing the resulting equation by r, choosing σ sufficiently small such that $C\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ and taking super-mum with respect to x_0 in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $0 \le t \le \min\{\sigma R^2, \sigma r^2\}$, we have (2.45). □

Proposition 2.5. *Let (u, v) be a smooth solution to (1.4)-(1.6) in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$. Then, there are constants $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ such that, if*

$$
\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{0\le s\le \sigma R^2, y\in\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{B_{R_0}(y)}|\nabla u(x,s)|^3+|v(x,s)|^3 dx < \varepsilon_1^3 \tag{2.49}
$$

for some $R_0, R > 0$, then for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $R \le R_0$ and $0 < \delta t < \tau < t = \sigma R^2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&R\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla v(\tau)|^2+|\nabla^2 u(\tau)|^2)\phi^6 dx\\
&\le \frac{C}{R}\int_{B_R(x_0)}|\nabla u_0|^2+|v_0|^2 dx + \frac{C}{R}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|v|^2+|\nabla u|^2)|\nabla\phi|^2\phi^4 dxds\\
&\quad + \frac{C}{R}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(p-c(s))v\cdot\nabla\phi\phi^5 dxds + \frac{C}{R}\int_{\delta t}^{\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(p-c(s))^2\phi^4 dxds,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.50}
$$

where $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ is a constant and ϕ is the cut-off function compactly supported in $B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_0)$ with $\phi \equiv 1$ on $B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_0)$, $|\nabla\phi| \le CR^{-1}$ and $|\nabla^2\phi| \le CR^{-2}$. Moreover, it holds for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $R \le R_0$ and $0 < \delta t < \tau < t = \sigma R^2$ that

$$
R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_0)}(|\nabla v(\tau)|^2+|\nabla^2 u(\tau)|^2)dx \le \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{C}{R}\int_{B_R(y)}|v_0|^2+|\nabla u_0|^2 dx. \tag{2.51}
$$

Proof. For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, let ϕ in (2.11) be a cut-off function compactly supported in $B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_0)$ with $\phi \equiv 1$ on $B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_0)$, $|\nabla\phi| \le CR^{-1}$ and $|\nabla^2\phi| \le CR^{-2}$. By the mean value theorem, (2.44) and (2.45), for $t = \sigma R^2$, $r = R$, there exists a $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ such

that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{t}{2R}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla v(\delta t)|^2+|\nabla^2 u(\delta t)|^2)\phi^6 dx\\
&\le \frac{1}{R}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|v_0|^2+|\nabla u_0|^2)\phi^6 dx+\frac{C}{R}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|v|^2+|\nabla u|^2)|\nabla\phi|^2\phi^4 dxds\\
&\quad+\frac{C}{R}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(p-c(s))v\cdot\nabla\phi\phi^5 dxds.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.52}$$

By using Young's inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&12\int_{\delta t}^{\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(p-c(t))(\nabla v^i\nabla_i(\phi^5\nabla\phi)-\Delta v^i\phi^5\nabla_i\phi)dxds\\
&\le\frac{1}{2}\int_{\delta t}^{\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2 v|^2\phi^6 dxds+\frac{C}{R^2}\int_{\delta t}^{\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla v|^2\phi^4 dxds\\
&\quad+\frac{C}{R^2}\int_{\delta t}^{\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(p-c(t))^2\phi^4 dxds.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.53}$$

It follows from Hölder's inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\delta t}^{\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|v|^2+|\nabla u|^2)(|\nabla v|^2+|\nabla^2 u|^2)\phi^6 dxds\\
&\le\int_{\delta t}^{\tau}\Big(\int_{B_R(x_0)}|\nabla u|^3+|v|^3dx\Big)^{\frac{2}{3}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla^2 u|^6+|\nabla v|^6)\phi^{18}\Big)^{\frac{1}{3}}ds\\
&\le C\varepsilon_1^2\int_{\delta t}^{\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla^3 u|^2+|\nabla^2 v|^2)\phi^6 dxds\\
&\quad+C\varepsilon_1^2\int_{\delta t}^{\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla^2 u|+|\nabla v|^2)|\nabla\phi|^2\phi^4 dxds.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.54}$$

Substituting (2.52)-(2.54) into (2.11) and multiplying the result inequality by R, we obtain

(2.55)

$$\begin{aligned}
&R\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla v(\tau)|^2+|\nabla^2 u(\tau)|^2)\phi^6 dx+R\int_{\delta t}^{\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla^2 v|^2+a|\nabla^3 u|^2)\phi^6 dx\\
&\le C\varepsilon_1^2\int_{\delta t}^{\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla^3 u|^2+|\nabla^2 v|^2)\phi^6 dxds+\frac{C}{R}\int_{\delta t}^{\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(p-c(s))^2\phi^4 dxds\\
&\quad+R\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla v(\delta t)|^2+|\nabla^2 u(\delta)|^2)\phi^6 dx+\frac{C\varepsilon_1^2}{R}\int_{\delta t}^{\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla^2 u|+|\nabla v|^2)\phi^4 dxds.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing ε_1 small enough and using (2.52) lead to (2.50). On the other hand, by using (2.47), (2.48) for $r=R$, (2.30) and (2.50), we conclude that for any $x_0\in\mathbb{R}^3$ and $0<\delta t<\tau<t=\sigma R^2$,

$$R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_0)}(|\nabla v(\tau)|^2+|\nabla^2 u(\tau)|^2)dx\le\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{C}{R}\int_{B_R(y)}|v_0|^2+|\nabla u_0|^2 dx.$$

□

3. **Higher regularity estimates**

In this section, we derive higher regularity estimates as follows.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (u, v) be a solution to (1.4)-(1.6) on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$. There are constants $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $R_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{0\le s\le T, x\in\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_R(x)} |\nabla u(x,s)|^3 + |v(x,s)|^3 dx < \varepsilon_1^3, \quad \forall R \in [0, R_0].$$

Then, for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $t \in [\tau, T]$ with $\tau \in (0, T)$, for any $k \ge 0$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_R(x_0)} (|\nabla^{k+1} u(t)|^2 + |\nabla^k v(t)|^2) dx + \int_\tau^t \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla^{k+2} u|^2 + |\nabla^{k+1} v|^2 dx ds \\ &\le C(\varepsilon_1, \tau, k, T, R). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. We prove this lemma by induction. It follows from Lemmas 2.1,-2.2 that (3.1) holds for $k = 0, 1$. Assume that (3.1) holds for $l \le k-1$ with $k \ge 2$; i.e. for any $t \in (\tau, T)$ and any $l \in [0, k-1]$

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} (|\nabla^{l+1} u|^2 + |\nabla^l v|^2) dx + \int_\tau^T \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla^{l+2} u|^2 + |\nabla^{l+1} v|^2 dx ds \le C. \tag{3.2}$$

By using the Sobolev embedding theorem in (3.2), we obtain that for $l \ge 2$,

$$|\nabla^{l-2} \nabla u| + |\nabla^{l-2} v| \le C, \quad \text{in} \quad B_R(x_0) \times (\tau, T). \tag{3.3}$$

Now, we prove (3.2) also holds for $l = k$. Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B_{2R}(x_0))$ be a cut-off function with $\phi \equiv 1$ on $B_R(x_0)$ and $|\nabla \phi| \le \frac{C}{R}$ and $|\nabla^2 \phi| \le \frac{C}{R^2}$ for all $R \le R_0$.

Applying ∇^k to (1.4), multiplying the resulting equation by $\nabla^k v \phi^2$ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$ give

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^k v|^2 \phi^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^{k+1} v|^2 \phi^2 dx \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k \nabla_j v^i \nabla^k v^i \nabla_j(\phi^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k p \nabla^k v^i \nabla_i(\phi^2) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k (v^j v^i) \nabla_j (\nabla^k v \phi^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^k (\nabla_i u^k W_{p_j^k}) \nabla_j (\nabla^k v^i \phi^2) dx \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

It follows from Young's inequality that

$$I_1 \le \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^{k+1} v|^2 \phi^2 dx + C \int_{\mathrm{spt}\phi} |\nabla^k v|^2 dx. \tag{3.5}$$

Integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^{k-1} p \nabla(\nabla^k v^i \nabla_i(\phi^2)) dx \\ &\le \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^{k+1} v|^2 \phi^2 dx + C \int_{\mathrm{spt}\phi} |\nabla^{k-1} p|^2 + |\nabla^k v|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

For I_3, it follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_3 &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|v||\nabla^k v| + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |\nabla^j v||\nabla^{k-j} v|)(|\nabla^{k+1} v|\phi^2 + |\nabla^k v||\nabla\phi|\phi) \\
&\le \frac{1}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^{k+1} v|^2\phi^2 dx + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|v|^2|\nabla^k v|^2 + |\nabla^{[\frac{k}{2}]} v|^2 |\nabla^{\lceil \frac{k}{2}\rceil} v|^2\right)\phi^2 dx \\
&\quad + C\int_{\mathrm{spt}\phi} |\nabla^k v|^2 dx + C,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}
$$

which is obvious for $k = 2, 3$ and also holds for $k \ge 4$ due to the fact that $|\nabla^{[\frac{k}{2}-1]} v| \le C$ when $[\frac{k}{2}] - 1 \le k - 3$. Here and in the sequel, $[c]$ denotes the integer part of c and $\lceil c\rceil$ means the smallest integer greater or equal to c. Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_4 &\le \frac{1}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^{k+1} v|^2\phi^2 dx + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla^{k+1} u|^2\phi^2 dx \\
&\quad + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^{[\frac{k+2}{2}]} u|^2 |\nabla^{\lceil \frac{k+2}{2}\rceil} u|^2\phi^2 dx + C\int_{\mathrm{spt}\phi} |\nabla^k v|^2 dx + C.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}
$$

Substituting (3.5)-(3.8) into (3.4) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^k v|^2\phi^2 dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^{k+1} v|^2\phi^2 dx \\
&\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|v|^2|\nabla^k v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2|\nabla^{k+1} u|^2)\phi^2 dx \\
&\quad + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|\nabla^{[\frac{k}{2}]} v|^2 |\nabla^{\lceil \frac{k}{2}\rceil} v|^2 + |\nabla^{[\frac{k+2}{2}]} u|^2 |\nabla^{\lceil \frac{k+2}{2}\rceil} u|^2\right)\phi^2 dx \\
&\quad + C\int_{\mathrm{spt}\phi} |\nabla^{k-1} p|^2 + |\nabla^k v|^2 dx + C.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}
$$

Applying ∇^{k+1} to (1.6), multiplying the resulting equation by $\nabla^{k+1} u\phi^2$ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$ give

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^{k+1} u|^2\phi^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^{k+1}\nabla_\alpha W_{p^i_\alpha} \nabla^{k+1} u^i\phi^2 dx \\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^{k+1}\left(\nabla_\alpha\left(u^k W_{p^k_\alpha}\right) u^i\right)\nabla^{k+1} u^i\phi^2 dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^{k+1}\left(W_{p^k_\alpha}\nabla_\alpha u^k u^i\right)\nabla^{k+1} u^i\phi^2 dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^{k+1}\left(W_{u^i} - W_{u^i u^i u^k}\right)\nabla^{k+1} u^i\phi^2 dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla^{k+1}(v\cdot\nabla u^i)\nabla^{k+1} u^i\phi^2 dx \\
&=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}
$$

Since $W(u, p)$ is quadratic in u, p and

$$
\nabla_\beta W_{p^i_\alpha} = W_{p^i_\alpha p^j_\gamma}\nabla^2_{\beta\gamma} u^j + W_{p^i_\alpha u^j}\nabla_\beta u^j,
$$

it follows from integration by parts and (2.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^{k+1}\nabla_\alpha W_{p^i_\alpha}\nabla^{k+1}u^i\phi^2dx\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^{k+1}W_{p^i_\alpha}\nabla^{k+1}\nabla_\alpha u^i\phi^2dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^{k+1}W_{p^i_\alpha}\nabla^{k+1}u^i\nabla_\alpha(\phi^2)dx\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}W_{p^i_\alpha p^j_\gamma}\nabla^k\nabla^2_{\beta\gamma}u^j\nabla^k\nabla^2_{\beta\alpha}u^i\phi^2dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^{k+1}W_{p^i_\alpha}\nabla^{k+1}u^i\nabla_\alpha(\phi^2)dx\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\nabla^k\left(W_{p^i_\alpha p^j_\gamma}\nabla^2_{\beta\gamma}u^j\right)-W_{p^i_\alpha p^j_\gamma}\nabla^k\nabla^2_{\beta\gamma}u^j\right)\nabla^k\nabla^2_{\beta\alpha}u^i\phi^2dx\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^k\left(W_{p^i_\alpha u^j}\nabla_\beta u^j\right)\nabla^k\nabla^2_{\beta\alpha}u^i\phi^2dx\\
&\geq\frac{7a}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^{k+2}u|^2\phi^2dx-C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2|\nabla^{[\frac{k+1}{2}]}u|^2|\nabla^{\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil}u|^2\phi^2dx\\
&\quad-C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(|\nabla u|^2|\nabla^{k+1}u|^2+|\nabla^{[\frac{k+2}{2}]}u|^2|\nabla^{\lceil\frac{k+2}{2}\rceil}u|^2\right)\phi^2dx\\
&\quad-C\int_{\mathrm{spt}\phi}|\nabla^{k+1}u|^2\phi^2dx-C,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.11}
$$

which is obvious for the case $k=2$ and also holds true for $k\geq 3$ since, in this case, $k-2\geq[\frac{k}{2}]$ implies $|\nabla^{[\frac{k}{2}]}u|\leq C$.

The right hand side of (3.10) can be estimated as follows.

Since $|u^i\nabla^k\Delta u^i|\leq\sum_{l=1}^{k+1}|\nabla^l u||\nabla^{k+2-l}|$ due to $|u|^2=1$ and

$$\nabla^l(u^kW_{p^k_\alpha})=\nabla^{\lambda_1}u\#\nabla^{\lambda_2}u+\nabla^{\mu_1}u\#\nabla^{\mu_2}u\#\nabla^{\mu_3}u\#\nabla^{\mu_4}u$$

where $\lambda_1+\lambda_2=\mu_1+\mu_2+\mu_3+\mu_4=l$ and $\#$ denotes the multi-linear map with constant coefficients, it follows from integration by parts and Young's inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_1&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^k\left(\nabla_\alpha(u^kW_{p^\alpha_k})\right)u^i\nabla^k\Delta u^i\phi^2dx\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla^k\left(\nabla_\alpha(u^kW_{p^\alpha_k})u^i\right)\nabla^k\nabla_\beta u^i\nabla_\beta(\phi^2)dx\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left[\nabla^k\left(\nabla_\alpha(u^kW_{p^\alpha_k})u^i\right)-\nabla^k\left(\nabla_\alpha(u^kW_{p^\alpha_k})\right)u^i\right]\nabla^k\Delta u^i\phi^2dx\\
&\leq\frac{a}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^{k+2}u|^2\phi^2dx+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2|\nabla^{[\frac{k+1}{2}]}u|^2|\nabla^{\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil}u|^2\phi^2dx\\
&\quad+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(|\nabla u|^2|\nabla^{k+1}u|^2+|\nabla^{[\frac{k+2}{2}]}u|^2|\nabla^{\lceil\frac{k+2}{2}\rceil}u|^2\right)\phi^2dx\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathrm{spt}\phi}|\nabla^{k+1}u|^2dx+C,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.12}
$$

which is obvious for the case $k=2$ and also holds true for $k\geq 3$ due to the fact that $|\nabla^{[\frac{k}{2}]}u|\leq C$ when $[\frac{k}{2}]\leq k-2$. Similarly, noting that $W_{u^i}=u\#\nabla u\#\nabla u$, it is

easy to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} J_2+J_3\leq&\frac{a}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^{k+2}u|^2\phi^2dx+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2|\nabla^{[\frac{k+1}{2}]}u|^2|\nabla^{\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil}u|^2\phi^2dx\\ &+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(|\nabla u|^2|\nabla^{k+1}u|^2+|\nabla^{[\frac{k+2}{2}]}u|^2|\nabla^{\lceil\frac{k+2}{2}\rceil}u|^2\right)\phi^2dx\\ &+\int_{\mathrm{spt}\phi}|\nabla^{k+1}u|^2dx+C \end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_4\leq&\frac{a}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^{k+2}u|^2\phi^2dx+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|v|^2|\nabla^{k+1}u|^2\phi^2dx\\ &+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(|\nabla^{[\frac{k}{2}]}v|^2|\nabla^{\lceil\frac{k}{2}\rceil+1}u|^2+|\nabla^{[\frac{k+2}{2}]}u|^2|\nabla^{\lceil\frac{k}{2}\rceil}v|^2\right)\phi^2dx+C. \end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

Substituting (3.11)-(3.14) into (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^{k+1}u|^2\phi^2dx+\frac{a}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^{k+2}u|^2\phi^2dx\\ &\leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|v|^2|\nabla^{k+1}u|^2\phi^2dx+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2|\nabla^{[\frac{k+1}{2}]}u|^2|\nabla^{\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil}u|^2\phi^2dx\\ &+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(|\nabla u|^2|\nabla^{k+1}u|^2+|\nabla^{[\frac{k+2}{2}]}u|^2|\nabla^{\lceil\frac{k+2}{2}\rceil}u|^2\right)\phi^2dx\\ &+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(|\nabla^{[\frac{k}{2}]}v|^2|\nabla^{\lceil\frac{k}{2}\rceil+1}u|^2+|\nabla^{[\frac{k+2}{2}]}u|^2|\nabla^{\lceil\frac{k}{2}\rceil}v|^2\right)\phi^2dx\\ &+\int_{\mathrm{spt}\phi}|\nabla^{k+1}u|^2dx+C. \end{aligned}\tag{3.15}$$

Summing (3.9) with (3.15) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(|\nabla^kv|^2+|\nabla^{k+1}u|^2\right)\phi^2dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla^{k+1}v|^2+a|\nabla^{k+2}u|^2)\phi^2dx\\ &\leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2|\nabla^{[\frac{k+1}{2}]}u|^2|\nabla^{\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\rceil}u|^2\phi^2dx\\ &+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(|\nabla^{[\frac{k}{2}]}v|^2|\nabla^{\lceil\frac{k}{2}\rceil+1}u|^2+|\nabla^{[\frac{k+2}{2}]}u|^2|\nabla^{\lceil\frac{k}{2}\rceil}v|^2\right)\phi^2dx\\ &+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(|\nabla^{[\frac{k}{2}]}v|^2|\nabla^{\lceil\frac{k}{2}\rceil}v|^2+|\nabla^{[\frac{k+2}{2}]}u|^2|\nabla^{\lceil\frac{k+2}{2}\rceil}u|^2\right)\phi^2dx\\ &+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|v|^2+|\nabla u|^2)(|\nabla^kv|^2+|\nabla^{k+1}u|^2)\phi^2dx\\ &+C\int_{\mathrm{spt}\phi}\left(|\nabla^{k+1}u|^2+|\nabla^kv|^2+|\nabla^{k-1}p|^2\right)dx+C=:\sum_{i=1}^5K_i+C. \end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

It remains to estimate $K_1,\cdots,K_5$. Since $k-3\geq[\frac{k}{2}]$ for any $k\geq5$, (3.2) and (3.4) yield $\sum_{i=1}^3K_i\leq C,\quad\forall k\geq5$. Hence, it only need to estimate K_1,K_2 and K_3 for $k=2,3,4$. For $k=2$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^3K_i\leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla v|^4+|\nabla^2u|^4)\phi^2dx.\tag{3.17}$$

It follows from integration by parts that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla v|^4+|\nabla^2 u|^4)\phi^2dx\\
&\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|v||\nabla v|^2|\nabla^2 v|+|\nabla u||\nabla^3 u|^2|\nabla^2 u|^2)\phi^2dx\\
&\quad + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|v||\nabla v|^3+|\nabla u||\nabla^2 u|^3)|\nabla\phi|\phi dx \qquad (3.18)\\
&\le \frac{C}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla v|^4+|\nabla^2 u|^2)\phi^2dx+\frac{C}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|v|^2|\nabla^2 v|^2+|\nabla u|^2|\nabla^3 u|^2)\phi^2dx\\
&\quad + \frac{C}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|v|^2|\nabla v|^2+|\nabla u|^2|\nabla^2 u|^2)|\nabla\phi|^2dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by using Sobolev embedding theorem and (3.2), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^3 K_i &\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|v|^2+|\nabla u|^2)\left((|\nabla^2 v|^2+|\nabla^3 u|^2)\phi^2+(|\nabla v|^2+|\nabla^2 u|^2)|\nabla\phi^2|\right)dx\\
&\le C\varepsilon_1^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla^3 v|^2+|\nabla^4 u|^2)\phi^2dx+C. \qquad (3.19)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $k=3$, (3.4) implies $|v|+|\nabla u|\le C$. It follows from integration by parts and (3.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^3 K_i \le& C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(|\nabla v|^2+|\nabla^2 u|^2\right)\left(|\nabla^2 v|^2+|\nabla^3 u|^2\right)\phi^2dx+C\\
&\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(|v||\nabla^2 v|+|\nabla u||\nabla^3 u|\right)\left(|\nabla^2 v|^2+|\nabla^3 u|^2\right)\phi^2dx\\
&\quad + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(|v||\nabla v|+|\nabla u||\nabla^2 u|\right)\left(|\nabla^2 v||\nabla^3 v|+|\nabla^3 u||\nabla^4 u|\right)\phi^2dx\\
&\quad + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(|v||\nabla v|+|\nabla u||\nabla^2 u|\right)\left(|\nabla^2 v|^2+|\nabla^3 u|^2\right)\nabla\phi\phi dx+C\\
&\le \frac{C}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(|\nabla v|^2+|\nabla^2 u|^2\right)\left(|\nabla^2 v|^2+|\nabla^3 u|^2\right)\phi^2dx\\
&\quad + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(|\nabla^3 v|^2+|\nabla^4 u|^2\right)\phi^2+\left(|\nabla^2 v|^2+|\nabla^3 u|^2|\nabla\phi|^2\right)dx+C,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^3 K_i \le C, \quad \text{for} \quad k=3. \qquad (3.20)
$$

Similarly, since $|\nabla v|+|\nabla^2 u|\le C$ for $k=4$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^3 K_i &\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2 v|^2|\nabla^3 u|^2\phi^2dx+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla^3 u|^4+|\nabla^2 v|^4)\phi^2dx\\
&\le \frac{C}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2 v|^2|\nabla^3 u|^2\phi^2dx+\frac{C}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla^3 u|^4+|\nabla^2 v|^4)\phi^2dx \qquad (3.21)\\
&\quad + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla^3 v|^2+|\nabla^4 u|^2)\phi^2dx+C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla^2 v|^2+|\nabla^3 u|^2)|\nabla\phi|^2dx
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} K_i \le C, \quad \text{for} \quad k = 4. \tag{3.22}$$

To estimate K_4, it follows from the Sobolev embedding theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} K_4 &\le \left(\int_{B_R(x_0)} (|v|^3 + |\nabla u|^3)\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla^k v|^6 + |\nabla^{k+1} u|^6)\phi^6\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\le C\varepsilon_1^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla^{k+1} v|^2 + |\nabla^{k+2} u|^2)\phi^2 + (|\nabla^k v|^2 + |\nabla^{k+1} u|^2)\nabla\phi^2 dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

To estimate K_5, the assumption (2.21) yields

$$\int_\tau^T \int_{\mathrm{spt}\phi} |\nabla^k v|^2 + |\nabla^{k+1} u|^2 dx \le C. \tag{3.24}$$

For the pressure term in K_5, since ∇p solves

$$-\Delta \nabla^{k-1} p = \nabla_{ij} \nabla^{k-1} [\nabla_i u^k W_{p_j^k}(u, \nabla u) + v^j v^i + \sigma_{ij}^L], \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T],$$

it follows from standard elliptic estimates that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\tau^T \int_{\mathrm{spt}\phi} |\nabla^{k-1} p|^2 dx dt &\le \sup_{\tau \le t \le T} \int_{2\mathrm{spt}\phi} (|\nabla^{k-1} v|^2 + |\nabla^k u|^2) \\ &\quad + \int_\tau^T \int_{2\mathrm{spt}\phi} (|p - c|^2 + |\nabla^k v|^2 + |\nabla^{k+1} u|^2) \le C. \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Integrating over $[\tau, t]$ and substituting (3.18)-(3.25) into (3.16) yield that (3.2) holds for $l = k$ by choosing ε_1 small enough. This complete a proof of this lemma. □

4. **Existence of L^3_{uloc}-solutions**

In this section, we prove existence of L^3_{uloc}-solutions to (1.4)-(1.6) in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We first approximate (v_0, u_0) satisfying (1.7) by smooth data in the following lemma, which is stated and proved in Lemma 5.1 of [12].

Lemma 4.1. *For a sufficiently small $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, let $(v_0, u_0) : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2$ satisfy* (1.7)*. Then, there exists a sequence of functions*

$$(v_0^k, u_0^k) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2) \cap \cap_{q=2}^3 (L^q(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3) \times \dot{W}_b^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^3, S^2))$$

such that the following properties hold:

(i) $\nabla \cdot v_0^k = 0$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *for all* $k \ge 1$.

(ii) *There exist* $C_0 > 0$ *and* $k_0 > 1$ *such that for any* $k \ge k_0$,

$$\|(v_0^k, u_0^k)\|_{L^3_{R_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C_0 \varepsilon_0.$$

(iii) $(v_0^k, u_0^k, \nabla u_0^k) \to (v_0, u_0, \nabla u_0)$ *in* $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *for* $q = 2, 3$, *as* $k \to +\infty$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: For initial data (v_0, u_0) satisfying (1.7), it follows from Lemma 4.1 that there are approximation smooth data (v_0^k, u_0^k) for (v_0, u_0) such that

$$\sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_{R_0}(x_0)} |\nabla u_0^k|^3 + |v_0^k|^3 dx \le C_0^3 \varepsilon_0^3 \le \frac{\varepsilon_1^3}{2}. \tag{4.1}$$

where $\varepsilon_1 = C\varepsilon_0$ to be determined later. Then, by using the result in Hong-Li-Xin [15] or Wang-Wang [35], there exists a unique local smooth solution $(v^k, u^k) : \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T^k] \to \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2$ to (1.4)-(1.6) with smooth initial data (v_0^k, u_0^k) such that

$$(v^k, u^k) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T^k)) \cap C([0, T^k]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3) \times H_b^2(\mathbb{R}^3, S^2)).$$

Therefore, there exists a maximal time $t_k \in (0, T^k]$ such that

$$\sup_{0\le s\le t_k, x_0\in\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_{R_0}(x_0)} |\nabla u^k|^3 + |v^k|^3 dx \le \varepsilon_1^3. \tag{4.2}$$

We claim that there exists a uniform lower bound of t_k such that $t_k \ge \sigma R_0^2$ for some small constant $\sigma > 0$.

The proof of claim relies on delicate covering arguments, the estimates in Proposition 2.4 and the following interpolation inequality (c.f. [3])

$$\int_{B_r} |f|^3 dx \le C(\int_{B_r} |f|^2 dx)^{\frac{3}{4}} (\int_{B_r} |\nabla f|^2 dx)^{\frac{3}{4}} + C(\frac{1}{r}\int_{B_r} |f|^2 dx)^{\frac{3}{2}}. \tag{4.3}$$

We prove the claim by contradiction. Assume that $t_k = \sigma R^2 < \sigma R_0^2$. In order to apply covering arguments, let $\mathbb{R}^3$ be covered by infinitely many balls of radius $\frac{R}{4}$, that is, $\mathbb{R}^3 = \bigcup\limits_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}} B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_i)$ with an index set $\mathcal{I}$ being all the centers of covering balls. Then,

$$B_{R_0}(x_0) \subset \bigcup_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}} B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_i),$$

where $\mathcal{I}_{x_0} = \{x_i \in \mathcal{I} \big| B_{R_0}(x_0) \cap B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_i) \ne \emptyset\}$. It is clear that the number of elements in $\mathcal{I}_{x_0}$ is bounded by $|\mathcal{I}_{x_0}| \le C\left(\frac{R_0}{R}\right)^3$. In particular, $B_R(x_0)$ can be covered by a fixed number (independent of R and x_0) of balls $B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)$. Then using (4.3) and Hölder's inequality, we have, for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{R_0}(x_0)} |v^k(t_k)|^3 + |\nabla u^k(t_k)|^3 dx \\
&\le C \sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_i)} |v^k(t_k)|^3 + |\nabla u^k(t_k)|^3 dx \\
&\le C \sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}} \left(\frac{1}{R}\int_{B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_i)} |v^k(t_k)|^2 + |\nabla u^k(t_k)|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\quad + C \sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}} \left(R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_i)} |\nabla v^k(t_k)|^2 + |\nabla^2 u^k(t_k)|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&=: I + J.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

For I, it follows from (2.44) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I \le & C \sum_{x_i \in \mathcal{I}_{x_0}} \left(\frac{1}{R} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)} |v_0^k|^2 + |\nabla u_0^k|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& + C \sum_{x_i \in \mathcal{I}_{x_0}} \left(\frac{1}{R^3} \int_0^{t_k} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)} |v^k|^2 + |\nabla u^k|^2 dx ds \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& + C \sum_{x_i \in \mathcal{I}_{x_0}} \left(\frac{1}{R} \int_0^{t_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (p - c(s)) v \cdot \nabla \phi_i \phi_i dx ds \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
=: & I_1 + I_2 + I_3,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}
$$

where ϕ_i is a cut-off function compactly supported in $B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)$ and $\phi_i \equiv 1$ on $B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_i)$. Then, by using Hölder's inequality, the standard covering argument and (4.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\le C|B_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{x_i \in \mathcal{I}_{x_0}} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)} |v_0^k|^3 + |\nabla u_0^k|^3 dx \\
&\le C \int_{B_{2R_0}(x_0)} |v_0^k|^3 + |\nabla u_0^k|^3 dx \\
&\le C \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_{R_0}(y)} |v_0^k|^3 + |\nabla u_0^k|^3 dx \le C_1 \varepsilon_0^3,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}
$$

where we have used $\bigcup_{x_i \in \mathcal{I}_{x_0}} B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i) \subset B_{2R_0}(x_0)$. Similarly, using (4.2) and $t_k = \sigma R^2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\le C R^{-\frac{9}{2}} \sum_{x_i \in \mathcal{I}_{x_0}} \left(\int_0^{t_k} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)} |v^k|^3 + |\nabla u^k|^3 dx ds \right) \cdot \left(\int_0^{t_k} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)} 1 dx ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le C|B_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} t_k^{\frac{1}{2}} R^{-3} \int_0^{t_k} \left(\sum_{x_i \in \mathcal{I}_{x_0}} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)} |v^k|^3 + |\nabla u^k|^3 dx \right) ds \\
&\le C t_k^{\frac{1}{2}} R^{-3} \int_0^{t_k} \int_{B_{2R_0}(x_0)} |v^k|^3 + |\nabla u^k|^3 dx ds \\
&\le C t_k^{\frac{3}{2}} R^{-3} \sup_{0 \le s \le t_k, y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_{R_0}(y)} |v^k|^3 + |\nabla u^k|^3 dx \le C_1 \sigma^{\frac{3}{2}} \varepsilon_1^3
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}
$$

and it follows from (4.2) and (2.29) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_3 &\le CR^{-3}\sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}}\left(\int_0^{t_k}\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}(x_i)}}(p-c(s))^{\frac{3}{2}}\phi_i^{\frac{3}{2}}dxds\right)\left(\int_0^{t_k}\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}(x_i)}}|v|^3dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\le C\varepsilon_1^{\frac{3}{2}}t_k^{\frac{1}{2}}R^{-3}\sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}}\left(\int_0^{t_k}\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)}(p-c(s))^{\frac{3}{2}}\phi_i^{\frac{3}{2}}dxds\right)\\
&\le C\varepsilon_1^{\frac{3}{2}}t_k^{\frac{1}{2}}R^{-3}\int_0^{t_k}\int_{B_{2R_0}(x_0)}(p-c(s))^{\frac{3}{2}}\phi^{\frac{3}{2}}dxds \qquad (4.8)\\
&\le C\varepsilon_1^{\frac{9}{2}}t_k^{\frac{3}{2}}R^{-3}\le C_1\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}\varepsilon_1^{\frac{9}{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where ϕ is the cut-off function compactly supported in $B_{2R_0}(x_0)$. Substituting (4.6)-(4.8) into (4.5) and choosing ε_0, σ small enough such that $2C_1^{\frac{1}{3}}\varepsilon_0=\varepsilon_1<1$ and $C_1\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}<\frac{1}{16}$, we have

$$
I\le C_1\varepsilon_0^3+C_1\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}(\varepsilon_1^3+\varepsilon_1^{\frac{9}{2}})\le\frac{\varepsilon_1^3}{4}. \tag{4.9}
$$

To estimate J, (2.50) yields that for any $\delta t_k\le t\le t_k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&C\sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}}\left(R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_i)}|\nabla v^k(t)|^2+|\nabla^2u^k(t)|^2dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \qquad (4.10)\\
&\le C\sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}}\left(\frac{1}{R}\int_{B_R(x_i)}|v_0|^2+|\nabla u_0|^2dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\\
&+C\sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}}\left(\frac{1}{R^3}\int_0^t\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)}|v^k|^2+|\nabla u^k|^2dxds\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\\
&+C\sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}}\left(\frac{1}{R}\int_0^t\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)}(p-c(s))v\cdot\nabla\phi_i\phi_idxds\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\\
&+C\sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}}\left(\frac{1}{R}\int_{\delta t_k}^t\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)}(p-c(s))^2\phi_i^4dxds\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\\
&=: J_1+J_2+J_3+J_4,
\end{aligned}
$$

where ϕ_i is a cut-off function compactly supported in $B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)$ and $\phi_i\equiv1$ on $B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_i)$. By the same arguments as in (4.6)-(4.8), one has

$$
J_1+J_2+J_3\le\frac{\varepsilon_1^3}{8}. \tag{4.11}
$$

It follows from Hölder's inequality, (4.2) and (2.31) that

(4.12)
$$\begin{aligned}
J_4 &\le CR^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}} \left(\int_{\delta t_k}^t \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)} (p-c)^3\phi_i^6 dxds\right)\cdot\left(\int_{\delta t_k}^t \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)} 1 dxds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le C(1-\delta)t_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}} \int_{\delta t_k}^t \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)} (p-c)^3\phi_i^6 dxds \\
&\le C(1-\delta)^2 \frac{t_k^{\frac{3}{2}}}{R_0^3}\varepsilon_1^6 + C(1-\delta)t_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}} \int_{\delta t_k}^t \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)} (|v^k|^6+|\nabla u^k|^6)\phi_i^6 dxds.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term in the last inequality of (4.12), using the Sobolev embedding theorem, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&Ct_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}} \int_{\delta t_k}^t \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)} (|v^k|^6+|\nabla u^k|^6)\phi_i^6 dxds \\
&\le Ct_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}} \int_{\delta t_k}^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|v^k|^2+|\nabla u^k|^2)|\nabla\phi_i|^2 dx\right)^3 ds \\
&\quad + Ct_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}} \int_{\delta t_k}^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla v^k|^2+|\nabla^2 u^k|^2)\phi_i^2 dx\right)^3 ds =: K_1+K_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

To estimate K_1, it follows from Hölder's inequality, (4.2) and a standard covering argument that

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 &\le \frac{Ct_k^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R^6} \sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}} \int_{\delta t_k}^t \left(\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}(x_i)}} |v^k|^3+|\nabla u^k|^3 dx\right)^2 \cdot \left(\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}(x_i)}} 1 dx\right) ds \\
&\le \frac{C\varepsilon_1^3 t_k^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R^3} \int_{\delta t_k}^t \left(\sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}} \int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}(x_i)}} |v^k|^3+|\nabla u^k|^3 dx\right) ds \\
&\le \frac{C\varepsilon_1^3 t_k^{\frac{1}{2}}}{R^3} \int_{\delta t_k}^t \int_{B_{2R_0}(x_0)} |v^k|^3+|\nabla u^k|^3 dxds \\
&\le \frac{C\varepsilon_1^3 t_k^{\frac{3}{2}}}{R^3} \sup_{\delta t_k\le s\le t, y\in\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_{R_0}(y)} |v^k|^3+|\nabla u^k|^3 dx \le C_2\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}}\varepsilon_1^6.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

To estimate K_2, it follows from (2.51) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\delta t_k\le s\le t, x_i\in\mathbb{R}^3} R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_i)} (|\nabla v^k|^2+|\nabla^2 u^k|) dx \\
&\le \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{C}{R}\int_{B_R(y)} |v_0|^2+|\nabla u_0|^2 dx \le C|B_1|^{\frac{1}{3}}\varepsilon_0^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$K_2 \le Ct_k^{\frac{1}{2}}R^{-3}\int_{\delta t_k}^{t}\sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}}\left(R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)}(|\nabla v^k|^2+|\nabla^2 u^k|^2)dx\right)^3 dx \tag{4.15}$$
$$\le C\varepsilon_0^2 t_k^{\frac{1}{2}}R^{-3}\int_{\delta t_k}^{t}\sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}}\left(R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)}(|\nabla v^k|^2+|\nabla^2 u^k|^2)dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} ds.$$

Substituting (4.11)-(4.15) into (4.10) and choosing σ small such that $C_2\sigma^{\frac{3}{2}} \le \frac{1}{8}$, we obtain, for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\delta t_k \le t \le t_k$,

$$\sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}} C\left(R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_i)}|\nabla v^k(t)|^2+|\nabla^2 u^k(t)|^2dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{4.16}$$
$$\le C\varepsilon_0^2 t_k^{\frac{1}{2}}R^{-3}\int_{\delta t_k}^{t}\sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}}\left(R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)}(|\nabla v^k|^2+|\nabla^2 u^k|^2)dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} ds+\frac{\varepsilon_1^3}{4}.$$

It remains to estimate the first term on right hand side of (4.16). Indeed, for any $x_i \in \mathcal{I}_{x_0}$, one has the covering

$$B_{\frac{R}{2}(x_i)} \subset \bigcup_{x_{ij}\in\mathcal{J}_{x_i}} B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_{ij}),$$

where $\mathcal{J}_{x_i} = \{x_{ij} \in \mathcal{I}\big|B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i) \cap B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_{ij}) \neq \emptyset\}$ and $|J_{x_i}| \le C$ is independent of R and x_i. Denote

$$\bigcup_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}} B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i) \subset \bigcup_{y_m\in\mathcal{M}_{x_0}} B_{\frac{R}{4}}(y_m),$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{x_0} = \bigcup_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}} J_{x_i} \subset \mathcal{I}$. Moreover, we have the following chain of coverings

$$B_{R_0}(x_0) \subset \bigcup_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}} B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_i) \subset \bigcup_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}} B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i) \subset \bigcup_{y_m\in\mathcal{M}_{x_0}} B_{\frac{R}{4}}(y_m) \subset B_{2R_0}(x_0).$$

Next, we divide all the balls of radius $\frac{R}{4}$ with centers $y_m \in \mathcal{M}_{x_0}$ into classes as follows. Note that the ball $B_{2R_0}(x_0)$ has the covering $B_{2R_0}(x_0) \subset \bigcup_{l=1}^{L} B_{R_0}(z_l)$ for a fixed L, which is independent of R_0 and x_0, and define

$$\mathcal{A}_{x_0}^l = \{y_m \in \mathcal{M}_{x_0} \subset \mathcal{I}\big|B_{\frac{R}{4}}(y_m) \cap B_{R_0}(z_l) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Then we have

$$B_{R_0}(z_l) \subset \bigcup_{y_m\in\mathcal{A}_{x_0}^l} B_{\frac{R}{4}}(y_m), \text{ and } \bigcup_{y_m\in\mathcal{M}_{x_0}} B_{\frac{R}{4}}(y_m) = \bigcup_{l=1}^{L}\bigcup_{y_m\in\mathcal{A}_{x_0}^l} B_{\frac{R}{4}(y_m)}.$$

Since the covering of $\mathbb{R}^3 = \bigcup_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}} B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_i)$ is given before, there is a finite set $\mathcal{I}_{\tilde{x}}$ such that for any $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $B_{R_0}(\tilde{x}) \subset \bigcup_{\tilde{x}_i\in\mathcal{I}_{\tilde{x}}} B_{\frac{R}{4}}(\tilde{x}_i)$. In particular, $B_{R_0}(z_l) \subset$

$\bigcup_{y_m\in\mathcal{A}^l_{x_0}} B_{\frac{R}{4}}(y_m)$. Then we have

$$\sum_{y_m\in\mathcal{A}^l_{x_0}}\left(R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{4}}(y_m)}|\nabla v^k|^2+|\nabla^2u^k|^2dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$
$$\leq\sup_{\tilde{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3}\sum_{\tilde{x}_i\in\mathcal{I}_{\tilde{x}}}\left(R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{4}}(\tilde{x}_i)}|\nabla v^k|^2+|\nabla^2u^k|^2dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

which implies

$$\sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}}\left(R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}(x_i)}(|\nabla v^k|^2+|\nabla^2u^k|^2)dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$
$$\leq\sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}}\left(\sum_{x_{ij}\in\mathcal{J}_{x_i}}R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_{ij})}(|\nabla v^k|^2+|\nabla^2u^k|^2)dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$
$$\leq C|J_{x_i}|^{\frac{3}{2}}\sum_{y_m\in\mathcal{M}_{x_0}}\left(R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{4}}(y_m)}|\nabla v^k|^2+|\nabla^2u^k|^2dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{4.17}$$
$$\leq C\sum_{l=1}^{L}\sum_{y_m\in\mathcal{A}^l_{x_0}}\left(R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{4}}(y_m)}|\nabla v^k|^2+|\nabla^2u^k|^2dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$
$$\leq CL\sup_{\tilde{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3}\sum_{\tilde{x}_i\in\mathcal{I}_{\tilde{x}}}\left(R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{4}}(\tilde{x}_i)}|\nabla v^k|^2+|\nabla^2u^k|^2dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Substituting (4.17) into (4.16) and taking sup-norm with respect to $x_0\in\mathbb{R}^3$ and t over $[\delta t_k,t_k]$ give

(4.18)

$$\sup_{\delta t_k\leq s\leq t_k,x_0\in\mathbb{R}^3}\sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}}C\left(R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_i)}|\nabla v^k(t)|^2+|\nabla^2u^k(t)|^2dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$
$$\leq CL\varepsilon_0^2t_k^{\frac{3}{2}}R^{-3}\sup_{\delta t_k\leq s\leq t_k,x_0\in\mathbb{R}^3}\sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}}\left(R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_i)}|\nabla v^k|^2+|\nabla^2u^k|^2dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}+\frac{\varepsilon_1^3}{4}$$
$$\leq C_2\varepsilon_0^2\sup_{\delta t_k\leq s\leq t_k,x_0\in\mathbb{R}^3}\sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}}\left(R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_i)}|\nabla v^k|^2+|\nabla^2u^k|^2dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}+\frac{\varepsilon_1^3}{4}.$$

Choosing ε_0 small enough such that $C_2\varepsilon_0^2\leq\frac{C}{2}$, one has

$$\sup_{\delta t_k\leq s\leq t_k,x_0\in\mathbb{R}^3}\sum_{x_i\in\mathcal{I}_{x_0}}C\left(R\int_{B_{\frac{R}{4}}(x_i)}|\nabla v^k(t)|^2+|\nabla^2u^k(t)|^2dx\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\leq\frac{\varepsilon_1^3}{2}, \tag{4.19}$$

which together with (4.9) and (4.4) implies that

$$\sup_{x_0\in\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{B_{R_0}(x_0)}|v^k(t_k)|^3+|\nabla u^k(t_k)|^3dx\le\frac{3}{4}\varepsilon_1^3.$$

This contradicts to the assumption that t_k is the maximal time satisfying (4.2).

Therefore, there exists a uniform time $T_0=\sigma R_0^2$ and $C=2C_1^{\frac{1}{3}}$ such that

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T_0}\|(v^k,\nabla u^k)\|_{L^3_{R_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}\le\varepsilon_1=C\varepsilon_0. \tag{4.20}$$

Then, by Lemma 3.1, one has, for any $\tau>0$,

$$\|(v^k,u^k)\|_{C^m(B_R)\times[\tau,T_0]}\le C(m,\varepsilon_1,\tau,T_0,R),\quad\text{for any}\quad m\ge0,R>0. \tag{4.21}$$

After taking subsequences, (4.20) and (4.21) yield that there exist $(v,u)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,T_0],\mathbb{R}^3\times S^2)$ with $(v,\nabla u)\in L^\infty([0,T_0];L^3_{uloc}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&(v^k,\nabla u^k)\rightharpoonup(v,\nabla u)\text{ in }L^3_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3\times[0,T_0]);\\&(v^k,\nabla u^k)\to(v,\nabla u)\text{ in }C^m(B_R\times[\delta,T_0]),\ \forall m\ge0,R>0,\delta<T_0.\end{aligned}$$

Letting $k\to+\infty$ in (4.20) gives

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T_0}\|(v,\nabla u)\|_{L^3_{R_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}\le C\varepsilon_0.$$

Then, it is easy to check by testing (1.4) and (1.6) by φ and ψ in $L^3(0,T_0;W^{1,3}_0(B_R))$ with $\nabla\cdot\varphi=0$ respectively that

$$\|(\partial_tv^k,\partial_tu^k)\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(0,T_0;W^{-1,\frac{3}{2}}(B_R))}\le C(R),\quad\text{for any}\quad R>0. \tag{4.22}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(v(t),\nabla u(t))\to(v_0,\nabla u_0),\ \text{in }L^3_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3),\ \text{as }t\to0,$$

which implies that $(v,\nabla u)\in C_*([0,T_0],L^3_{uloc}(\mathbb{R}^3))$.

Now, we prove the characterization of the maximal time T^* stated in Theorem 1.1 (iii). Suppose that $T^*<+\infty$ and (iii) could not hold true. Then, there exists a R_* such that

$$\limsup_{t\to T^*}\|v(t),\nabla u(t))\|_{L^3_{R_*}(\mathbb{R}^3)}\le\varepsilon_0.$$

In particular, there exists $R_\star\in(0,R_*]$ such that

$$\sup_{T^*-R_\star^2\le t\le T^*}\|(v(t),\nabla u(t))\|_{L^3_{R_\star}(\mathbb{R}^3)}\le\varepsilon_0.$$

Noting that for any $t>0$, $\lim\limits_{|x|\to\infty}⨍_{B_1(x)}|u^k(y,t)-b|^2dy=0$ and for any $\tau>0$, $u^k\to u$ in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3\times[\tau,T_0])$, it is obvious that, for any $t>0$, $\lim\limits_{|x|\to\infty}⨍_{B_1(x)}|u(y,t)-b|^2dy=0$. Therefore, by the local existence and high regularity results of Theorem 1.1, we have $(v,u)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,T^*))\cap C_*([0,T_0],L^3_{uloc}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Hence, we can extend the solution beyond T^*, which contradicts to the maximality of T^*. □

Proof of Corollary 1.2: For the initial data (v_0,u_0) satisfying the assumption in Corollary 1.2, we have, for any $0<R<\infty$,

$$\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{B_R(y)}|v_0|^3+|\nabla u_0|^3dx\le\varepsilon_0^3.$$

Then applying Theorem 1.1, the existence time of solution is at least σR^2 which is arbitrarily large. Therefore, we have proved the global existence of solutions with small L^3 data of $(v_0, \nabla u_0)$. □

5. **Uniqueness**

In this section, we prove the uniqueness of L^3_{uloc}- solutions to (1.4)-(1.6) with finite initial energy. Before proving the uniqueness theorem, we show that solutions in Theorem 1.1 have the following a priori estimates under the additional assumption $(v_0, u_0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^1_b(\mathbb{R}^3, S^2)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let (v, u) be a solution to (1.4)-(1.6) with initial data (v_0, u_0) satisfying (1.7) in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T_0)$. If (v_0, u_0) is also in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^1_b(\mathbb{R}^3, S^2)$, then, for any $t \in (0, T_0)$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e(u(\cdot,t), v(\cdot,t))dx + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla v|^2 + |\partial_t u + (v\cdot\nabla)u|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e(u_0, v_0)dx, \tag{5.1}$$

where $e(u, v)$ is the basic energy defined by $e(u, v) = W(u, \nabla u) + \frac{1}{2}|v|^2$.

Proof. The equality (5.1) follows from multiplying (1.4) and (1.6) by v^i and $\partial_t u^i + v \cdot \nabla u^i$ respectively, summing the resulting equations up and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$. We omit details here, one can refer to Lemma 3.1 of [16]. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let (v, u) be a solution to (1.4)-(1.6) with initial data (v_0, u_0) satisfying (1.7) in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T_0)$. If (v_0, u_0) is also in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^1_b(\mathbb{R}^3, S^2)$, then*

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 dxdt \le C(1 + T_0 R_0^{-2}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e(u_0, v_0)dx, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^4 + |v|^4 dxdt \le C\varepsilon_1^2(1 + T_0 R_0^{-2}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e(u_0, v_0)dx. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. Multiplying (1.4), (1.6) with v^i, Δu^i respectively and using the similar argument in Lemma 2.1 (with $\phi \equiv 1$), one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 dx + 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla v|^2 + a|\nabla^2 u|^2)dx \\ &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla v|^2 + a|\nabla^2 u|^2)dx + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^4 + |v|^4)dx. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

By a standard covering argument in $\mathbb{R}^3$, it follows from Hölder's inequality and (4.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^4 + |v|^4)dx \le C\sum_i \int_{B_{R_0}(x_i)} (|\nabla u|^4 + |v|^4)dx \\ &\le C\sum_i \left(\int_{B_{R_0}(x_i)} |\nabla u|^3 + |v|^3\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\int_{B_{R_0}(x_i)} |\nabla u|^6 + |v|^6\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\le C\varepsilon_1^2 \sum_i \left(\int_{B_{R_0}(x_i)} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 dx + \frac{1}{R_0^2}\int_{B_{R_0}(x_i)} |\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2 dx\right) \\ &\le C\varepsilon_1^2 (\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 dx + \frac{1}{R_0^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + |v|^2 dx), \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where we have used that

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{0\le s\le T_0, y\in\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{B_{R_0}(y)}|\nabla u(x,s)|^3+|v(x,s)|^3dx<\varepsilon_1^3.$$

Substituting (5.4) into (5.5), integrating over time in $(0,T_0)$ and using (5.1), we prove (5.2) and (5.3) by choosing ε_1 small enough. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let (v_1,u_1) and (v_2,u_2) be two solutions of (1.4)-(1.6) with the same initial data satisfying assumptions in Theorem 1.3. It follows from Theorem 1.1 and Lemmas 5.1, 5.2 that

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T_0}\|(v_m,\nabla u_m)\|_{L^3_{R_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)}\le C\varepsilon_0$$

and

$$(v_m,\nabla u_m)\in L^\infty(0,T_0;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap L^2(0,T_0;H^1_b(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap L^4(\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,T_0)).$$

Then, by testing (1.4) with divergence free vector ψ in $L^2(0,T_0;H^1)$, one has $\partial_t v_m\in L^2(0,T_0;H^{-1})$. Define the vector field $\xi_m=(-\Delta+I)^{-1}v_m$, for $m=1,2$, that is, ξ_m is the unique solution to

$$-\Delta\xi_m+\xi_m=u_m,\quad \xi_m\to 0,\quad \text{as } x\to\infty. \tag{5.6}$$

It is clear that $\operatorname{div}\xi_m=0$, $\xi_m\in L^2(0,T_0;H^3)$ and $\partial_t\xi_m\in L^2(0,T_0;H^1)$.

Set $\xi=\xi_1-\xi_2$ and $v=v_1-v_2$. Then, $\partial_t\xi$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\xi&=\partial_t(-\Delta+I)^{-1}v\\&=(-\Delta+I)^{-1}[\operatorname{div}(\nabla v-v_1\otimes v_1+v_2\otimes v_2-(\nabla u_1)^TW_p(u_1,\nabla u_1)\\&\quad+(\nabla u_2)^TW_p(u_2,\nabla u_2))-\nabla p].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows from integration by parts that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\xi|^2+|\nabla\xi|^2)dx=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\xi-\Delta\xi)\cdot\partial_t\xi dx\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(-\Delta+I)\xi\cdot(-\Delta+I)^{-1}[\operatorname{div}(\nabla v-v_1\otimes v_1+v_2\otimes v_2\\&\quad-(\nabla u_1)^TW_p(u_1,\nabla u_1)+(\nabla u_2)^TW_p(u_2,\nabla u_2))-\nabla p]dx\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\xi\cdot[\operatorname{div}(\nabla v-v_1\otimes v_1+v_2\otimes v_2-(\nabla u_1)^TW_p(u_1,\nabla u_1)\\&\quad+(\nabla u_2)^TW_p(u_2,\nabla u_2))-\nabla p]dx\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(-\nabla v+v\otimes v_1+v_2\otimes v):\nabla\xi dx\\&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}((\nabla u_1)^TW_p(u_1,\nabla u_1)-(\nabla u_2)^TW_p(u_2,\nabla u_2))):\nabla\xi dx.\end{aligned}\tag{5.7}$$

Since $v=-\Delta\xi+\xi$, one has

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla v:\nabla\xi dx=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(-\nabla\xi+\nabla\Delta\xi):\nabla\xi dx=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla\xi|^2+|\nabla^2\xi|^2)dx.\tag{5.8}$$

It follows from Young's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}(5.9)\qquad &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(v\otimes v_1+v_2\otimes v):\nabla\xi dx\le\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|v_1|+|v_2|)(|\xi|+|\Delta\xi|)|\nabla\xi|dx\\&\le\eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2\xi|^2dx+C_\eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(1+|v_1|^2+|v_2|^2)(|\xi|^2+|\nabla\xi|^2)dx.\end{aligned}$$

Noting that $W(u,p)$ is quadratic in u and p, it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned}&(\nabla u_1)^TW_p(u_1,\nabla u_1)-(\nabla u_2)^TW_p(u_2,\nabla u_2)\\&\le C(|\nabla u_1|^2|w|+(|\nabla u_1|+|\nabla u_2|)|\nabla w|).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}((\nabla u_1)^TW_p(u_1,\nabla u_1)-(\nabla u_2)^TW_p(u_2,\nabla u_2))):\nabla\xi dx\\(5.10)\qquad&\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla u_1|^2|w|+(|\nabla u_1|+|\nabla u_2|)|\nabla w|)|\nabla\xi|dx\\&\le\eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla w|^2dx+C_\eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla u_1|^2|w|^2+(|\nabla u_1|^2+|\nabla u_2|^2)|\nabla\xi|^2)dx.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (5.8)-(5.10) into (5.7) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\xi|^2+|\nabla\xi|^2)dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla\xi|^2+|\nabla^2\xi|^2)dx\\(5.11)\qquad&\le\eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla^2\xi|^2+|\nabla w|^2)dx+C_\eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u_1|^2|w|^2dx\\&\quad+C_\eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(1+|v_1|^2+|v_2|^2+|\nabla u_1|^2+|\nabla u_2|^2)(|\xi|^2+|\nabla\xi|^2)dx.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, set $w=u_1-u_2$. Then, it follows from (1.6) that w satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_tw^i=&-v\cdot\nabla u_1^i-v_2\cdot\nabla w^i+\nabla_\alpha[W_{p_\alpha^i}(u_1,\nabla u_1)-W_{p_\alpha^i}(u_2,\nabla u_2)]\\&-\nabla_\alpha[u_1^ku_1^iW_{p_\alpha^k}(u_1,\nabla u_1)-u_2^ku_2^iW_{p_\alpha^k}(u_2,\nabla u_2)]-W_{u^i}(u_1,\nabla u_1)\\(5.12)\qquad&+W_{u^i}(u_2,\nabla u_2)+u_1^iu_1^kW_{u^k}(u_1,\nabla u_1)-u_2^iu_2^kW_{u^k}(u_2,\nabla u_2)\\&+W_{p_\alpha^k}(u_1,\nabla u_1)\nabla_\alpha u_1^ku_1^i-W_{p_\alpha^k}(u_2,\nabla u_2)\nabla_\alpha u_2^ku_2^i\\&+W_{p_\alpha^k}(u_1,\nabla u_1)u_1^k\nabla_\alpha u_1^i-W_{p_\alpha^k}(u_2,\nabla u_2)u_2^k\nabla_\alpha u_2^i.\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (5.12) by w^i and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^3$ give

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|w|^2dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(W_{p^i_\alpha}(u_1,\nabla u_1)-W_{p^i_\alpha}(u_2,\nabla u_2)\right)\nabla_\alpha w^i dx\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(u_1^k u_1^i W_{p^k_\alpha}(u_1,\nabla u_1)-u_2^k u_2^i W_{p^k_\alpha}(u_2,\nabla u_2)\right)\nabla_\alpha w^i dx\\
&\quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(W_{u^i}(u_1,\nabla u_1)-W_{u^i}(u_2,\nabla u_2))w^i dx\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(u_1^i u_1^k W_{u^k}(u_1,\nabla u_1)-u_2^i u_2^k W_{u^k}(u_2,\nabla u_2))w^i dx\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(W_{p^k_\alpha}(u_1,\nabla u_1)\nabla_\alpha u_1^k u_1^i-W_{p^k_\alpha}(u_2,\nabla u_2)\nabla_\alpha u_2^k u_2^i)w^i dx\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(W_{p^k_\alpha}(u_1,\nabla u_1)u_1^k\nabla_\alpha u_1^i-W_{p^k_\alpha}(u_2,\nabla u_2)u_2^k\nabla_\alpha u_2^i)w^i dx\\
&\quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(v\cdot\nabla u_1^i+v_2\cdot\nabla w^i)w^i dx\\
&=:I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4+I_5+I_6.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.13}
$$

Since $W(u,\nabla u):=a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}(u)\nabla_\alpha u^i\nabla_\beta u^j$ is convex, we have

$$
W_{p^i_\alpha}(u,\nabla u)=a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}(u)\nabla_\beta u^j,\quad a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}(u)\lambda^i_\alpha\lambda^j_\beta\geq a|\lambda|^2,\ \forall\lambda\in\mathbb{M}^{3\times 3},
$$

where $a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}(u)$ is a quadratic polynomial of u. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(W_{p^i_\alpha}(u_1,\nabla u_1)-W_{p^i_\alpha}(u_2,\nabla u_2)\right)\nabla_\alpha w^i dx\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left((a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}(u_1)-a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}(u_2))\nabla_\alpha u_1^j+a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}(u_2)\nabla_\beta w^j\right)\nabla_\alpha w^i dx\\
&\geq a\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla w|^2dx-C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u_1||w||\nabla w|dx,
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.14}
$$

where we have used $|a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}(u_1)-a^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}(u_2)|\leq C|w|$. Now we estimate the terms $I_1,\cdots,I_6$ on the right hand side of (5.13). Noting that $W(u,\nabla u)$ is quadratic in u and ∇u, it follows from tedious but not difficult calculations that

$$
\sum_{i=2}^5 I_i\leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla u_1|^2|w|+(|\nabla u_1|+|\nabla u_2|)|\nabla w|)|w|dx.
\tag{5.15}
$$

Since $v=-\Delta\xi+\xi$, one has

$$
I_6\leq\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla u_1|(|\xi|+|\Delta\xi|)+|v_2||\nabla w|)|w|dx.
\tag{5.16}
$$

To estimate I_1, we first use the rotation invariant property, which will be checked in Lemma 5.3 below, to write the integrand in I_1 as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(u_1^k(x)u_1^i(x)W_{p^k_\alpha}(u_1,\nabla_x u_1)-u_2^k(x)u_2^i(x)W_{p^k_\alpha}(u_2,\nabla_x u_2)\right)\nabla_{x_\alpha}w^i(x)\\
&=\left(\tilde u_1^k(y)\tilde u_1^i(y)W_{\tilde p^k_\alpha}(\tilde u_1,\nabla_y\tilde u_1)-\tilde u_2^k(y)\tilde u_2^i(y)W_{p^k_\alpha}(\tilde u_2,\nabla_y\tilde u_2)\right)\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde w^i(y),
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.17}
$$

where $x=Q^Ty$ with $Q\in O(3)$ and $\tilde u_m(y)=Qu_m(Q^Ty)$ for $m=1,2$.

At $x = x_0$, there is a rotation $Q = Q_{x_0}$ such that $\tilde{u}_2(y_0) = (0,0,1)^T$ with $y_0 = Qx_0$. Then, for $k = 1, 2$, at $y = y_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\tilde{u}_1^k\tilde{u}_1^i W_{\tilde{p}_\alpha^k}(\tilde{u}_1, \nabla_y\tilde{u}_1) - \tilde{u}_2^k\tilde{u}_2^i W_{p_\alpha^k}(\tilde{u}_2, \nabla_y\tilde{u}_2)\right)\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{w}^i \\
&= \tilde{u}_1^k\tilde{u}_1^i W_{\tilde{p}_\alpha^k}(\tilde{u}_1, \nabla_y\tilde{u}_1)\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{w}^i = \tilde{w}^k\tilde{u}_1^i W_{\tilde{p}_\alpha^k}(\tilde{u}_1, \nabla_y\tilde{u}_1)\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{w}^i \\
&\le C|\tilde{w}||\nabla_y\tilde{u}_1||\nabla_y\tilde{w}|.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

Similarly, for $i = 1$ or 2, it also holds that at $y = y_0$

$$\left(\tilde{u}_1^k\tilde{u}_1^i W_{\tilde{p}_\alpha^k}(\tilde{u}_1, \nabla_y\tilde{u}_1) - \tilde{u}_2^k\tilde{u}_2^i W_{p_\alpha^k}(\tilde{u}_2, \nabla_y\tilde{u}_2)\right)\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{w}^i \le C|\tilde{w}||\nabla_y\tilde{u}_1||\nabla_y\tilde{w}|. \tag{5.19}$$

It suffices to estimate the integrand in I_1 for $k = i = 3$. Indeed, since $\tilde{u}_2(y_0) = (0,0,1)^T$ and $|\tilde{u}_2| = 1$ implies $\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{u}_2^3 = 0$ at $y = y_0$, we have that at $y = y_0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\tilde{u}_1^3\tilde{u}_1^3 W_{\tilde{p}_\alpha^3}(\tilde{u}_1, \nabla_y\tilde{u}_1) - \tilde{u}_2^3\tilde{u}_2^3 W_{p_\alpha^3}(\tilde{u}_2, \nabla_y\tilde{u}_2)\right)\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{w}^3 \\
&= \left(\tilde{w}^3\tilde{u}_1^3 a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(\tilde{u}_1)\nabla_{y_\beta}\tilde{u}_1^j + \tilde{u}_2^3\tilde{w}^3 a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(\tilde{u}_1)\nabla_{y_\beta}\tilde{u}_1^j\right)\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{w}^3 \\
&\quad + \tilde{u}_2^3\tilde{u}_2^3(a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(\tilde{u}_1) - a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(\tilde{u}_2))\nabla_{y_\beta}\tilde{u}_1^j\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{w}^3 + \tilde{u}_2^3\tilde{u}_2^3 a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(\tilde{u}_2)\nabla_{y_\beta}\tilde{w}^j\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{u}_1^3 \\
&\le C|\nabla_y\tilde{u}_1||\tilde{w}||\nabla_y\tilde{w}| + \tilde{u}_2^3\tilde{u}_2^3 a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(\tilde{u}_2)\nabla_{y_\beta}\tilde{w}^j\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{u}_1^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

Since $\tilde{u}_2(y_0) = (0,0,1)^T$ and $|\tilde{u}_1| = 1$, it is clear that at $y = y_0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{u}_2^3\tilde{u}_2^3 a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(\tilde{u}_2)\nabla_{y_\beta}\tilde{w}^j\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{u}_1^3 \\
&= \tilde{u}_2^3\tilde{u}_2^3 a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(\tilde{u}_2)\nabla_{y_\beta}\tilde{w}^j(\tilde{u}_1^3\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{u}_1^3 - \tilde{u}_1^3\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{u}_1^3 + \nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{u}_1^3) \\
&= \tilde{u}_2^3\tilde{u}_2^3 a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(\tilde{u}_2)\nabla_{y_\beta}\tilde{w}^j\tilde{u}_1^3\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{u}_1^3 - \tilde{u}_2^3\tilde{u}_2^3 a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(\tilde{u}_2)\nabla_{y_\beta}\tilde{w}^j\tilde{w}^3\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{u}_1^3 \\
&\le -\tilde{u}_2^3\tilde{u}_2^3 a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(\tilde{u}_2)\nabla_{y_\beta}\tilde{w}^j(\tilde{u}_1^1\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{u}_1^1 + \tilde{u}_1^2\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{u}_1^2) + C|\nabla_y\tilde{u}_1||\tilde{w}||\nabla_y\tilde{w}| \\
&\le -\tilde{u}_2^3\tilde{u}_2^3 a_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(\tilde{u}_2)\nabla_{y_\beta}\tilde{w}^j(\tilde{w}^1\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{u}_1^1 + \tilde{w}^2\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{u}_1^2) + C|\nabla_y\tilde{u}_1||\tilde{w}||\nabla_y\tilde{w}| \\
&\le C|\nabla_y\tilde{u}_1||\tilde{w}||\nabla_y\tilde{w}|.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

Substituting (5.18)-(5.21) into (5.17), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\left(u_1^k u_1^i W_{p_\alpha^k}(u_1, \nabla u_1) - u_2^k u_2^i W_{p_\alpha^k}(u_2, \nabla u_2)\right)\nabla_\alpha w^i|(x_0) \\
&\le C|\nabla_y\tilde{u}_1||\tilde{w}||\nabla_y\tilde{w}|(y_0) = C|\nabla u_1||w||\nabla w|(x_0).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

Since (5.22) holds for every $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$I_1 \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u_1||w||\nabla w|dx. \tag{5.23}$$

Substituting (5.14)-(5.16) and (5.23) into (5.13), we have

(5.24)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|w|^2dx + a\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla w|^2dx \\
&\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u_1|^2|w|^2 + (|v_2| + |\nabla u_1| + |\nabla u_2|)|w||\nabla w| + |\nabla u_1|(|\xi| + |\Delta\xi|)|w|dx \\
&\le \eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla^2\xi|^2 + |\nabla w|^2)dx + C_\eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(1 + |v_2|^2 + |\nabla u_1|^2 + |\nabla u_2|^2)(|\xi|^2 + |w|^2)dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing (5.11) with (5.24) and choosing $\eta = \frac{1}{2}\min\{1, a\}$ give

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\xi|^2+|\nabla\xi|^2+|w|^2)dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla\xi|^2+|\nabla^2\xi|^2+a|\nabla w|^2)dx \tag{5.25}$$
$$\leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(1+|v_1|^2+|v_2|^2+|\nabla u_1|^2+|\nabla u_2|^2)(|\xi|^2+|\nabla\xi|^2+|w|^2)dx.$$

It follows from a covering argument and (4.3) that

(5.26)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|v_1|^2+|v_2|^2+|\nabla u_1|^2+|\nabla u_2|^2)(|\xi|^2+|\nabla\xi|^2+|w|^2)dx$$
$$\leq C\sum_i\int_{B_{R_0}(x_i)}(|v_1|^2+|v_2|^2+|\nabla u_1|^2+|\nabla u_2|^2)(|\xi|^2+|\nabla\xi|^2+|w|^2)dx$$
$$\leq C\sum_i\left(\int_{B_{R_0}(x_i)}|v_1|^3+|v_2|^3+|\nabla u_1|^3+|\nabla u_2|^3\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\int_{B_{R_0}(x_i)}|\xi|^6+|\nabla\xi|^6+|w|^6\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}dx$$
$$\leq C\varepsilon_1^2\sum_i\left(\int_{B_{R_0}(x_i)}|\nabla\xi|^2+|\nabla^2\xi|^2+|\nabla w|^2dx+\frac{1}{R_0^2}\int_{B_{R_0}(x_i)}|\xi|^2+|\nabla\xi|^2+|w|^2dx\right)$$
$$\leq C\varepsilon_1^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\xi|^2+|\nabla^2\xi|^2+|\nabla w|^2dx+\frac{C\varepsilon_1^2}{R_0^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\xi|^2+|\nabla\xi|^2+|w|^2)dx.$$

Collecting (5.25) and (5.26), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|\xi(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\xi(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2)\leq C(\|\xi\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\xi\|_{L^2}^2+\|w\|_{L^2}^2) \tag{5.27}$$

which implies that

$$\|\xi(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\xi(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2\leq(\|\xi(0)\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla\xi(0)\|_{L^2}^2+\|w(0)\|_{L^2}^2)e^{Ct}\leq 0.$$

Therefore, $\xi=\nabla\xi=w=0$ which completes a proof of uniqueness. □

During the proof, we used the following elementary lemma, which is based on the rotation invariant property $W(Qu, Q\nabla uQ^T) = W(u, \nabla u)$ for a rotation $Q \in O(3)$(see [9]):

Lemma 5.3. *For a rotation $Q \in O(3)$, the term $u^iu^kW_{p_\alpha^k}(u,\nabla u)\nabla_\alpha w$ has the following invariant property:*

$$u^i(x)u^k(x)W_{p_\alpha^k}(u(x),\nabla_x u(x))\nabla_{x_\alpha}w(x)^i=\tilde{u}^i(y)\tilde{u}^k(y)W_{\tilde{p}_\alpha^k}(\tilde{u}(y),\nabla_y\tilde{u}(y))\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{w}^i(y),$$

where $x = Q^Ty$ and $(\tilde{u}(y), \tilde{w}(y)) = (Qu(Q^Ty), Qw(Q^Ty))$.

Proof. Let $x = Q^Ty$ and $\tilde{u}(y) = Qu(Q^Ty)$. By the chain rule, one has

$$\nabla_{x_\beta}u^l=(Q^T)_{lk}\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{u}^kQ_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Using this fact, it is easy to check that $W(\tilde{u}, \nabla_y\tilde{u}) = W(u, \nabla u)$ (see [9]). Then,

$$W_{\tilde{p}_\alpha^k}(\tilde{u}(y),\nabla_y\tilde{u}(y))=W_{\tilde{p}_\alpha^k}(u(x),u(x))$$
$$=W_{p_\beta^l}(u(x),\nabla u(x))\frac{\partial\nabla_{x_\beta}u^l}{\partial\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{u}^k}=W_{p_\beta^l}(u(x),\nabla u(x))(Q^T)_{lk}Q_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{u}^i(y)\tilde{u}^k(y)W_{\tilde{p}^k_\alpha}(\tilde{u},\nabla_y\tilde{u})\nabla_{y_\alpha}\tilde{w}(y)\\
&= Q_{ij}u^j(x)Q_{km}u^m(x)W_{p^l_\beta}(u(x),\nabla u(x))(Q^T)_{lk}Q_{\alpha\beta}Q_{in}\nabla_{x_\gamma}u^n(x)(Q^T)_{\gamma\alpha}\\
&= \delta_{nj}\delta_{lm}\delta_{\beta\gamma}u^j(x)u^m(x)W_{p^l_\beta}(u(x),\nabla u(x))\nabla_{x_\gamma}u^n(x)\\
&= u^j(x)u^l(x)W_{p^l_\beta}(u(x),\nabla u(x))\nabla_{x_\beta}u^j(x).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Acknowledgments The research of the first author was supported by the Australian Research Council grant DP150101275. The second author was supported by the Australian Research Council grant DP150101275 as an postdoctoral fellow.

References

[1] J. M. Ball, Mathematics and liquid crystals. Preprint in 2016.
[2] A. N. Beris and B. J. Edwards, Thermodynamics of flowing systems with internal microstructure. In: Oxford Engineering Science Series, Vol. 36. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1994.
[3] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn and L. Nirenberg, Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of Navier-Stokes euqations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **35**, (1982) 771–831.
[4] M. Cannone, A generalization of a theorem by Kato on Navier-Stokes equations, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **13**, (1997) 515–541.
[5] Y. Du and K. Wang, Space-time regularity of the Koch and Tataru solutions to the liquid crystal equations SIAM J. Math. Anal. **45**, (2013) 3838–3853.
[6] J. L. Ericksen, Conservation laws for liquid crystals, Trans. Soc. Rheol. **5**, (1961) 23–34.
[7] J. L. Ericksen, Inequalities in liquid crystals theory, Physics of Fluids (1958-1988) 9**5**, (1966) 1205–1207.
[8] F. C. Frank, On the theory of liquid crystals, Discuss. Faraday Soc. **25**, (1958) 19–28.
[9] M. Giaquinta, G. Modica and J. Soucek, Cartesian Currents in the Calculus of Variations, Part II, Variational Integrals, in: A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, Vol. **38**, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
[10] R. Hardt, D. Kinderlehrer and F.-H. Lin, Existence and partial regularity of static liquid crystal configurations, Comm. Math. Phys. **105**(4), (1986) 547–570.
[11] M. Hieber, M. Nesensohn, J. Prüss and K. Schade, Dynamics of nematic liquid crystal flows: The quasilinear approach, Ann. I. H. Poincaré–AN **33**, (2016) 397–408.
[12] J. Hineman and C. Wang, Well-posedness of Nematic Liquid Crystal flow in $L^3_{uloc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **210**, (2013) 177–218.
[13] M.-C. Hong, Partial regularity of weak solutions of the liquid crystal equilibrium system, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **53**(5), (2004) 1401–1414.
[14] M.-C. Hong, Global existence of solutions of the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system in dimension two, Calc. Var. & PDEs **40**, (2011) 15–36.
[15] M.-C. Hong, J. Li and Z. Xin, Blow-up Criteria of Strong Solutions to the Ericksen-Leslie System in $\mathbb{R}^3$, Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. **39**, (2014) 1284–1328.
[16] M.-C. Hong and Z. Xin, Global existence of solutions of the Liquid Crystal flow for the Oseen-Frank model in $\mathbb{R}^2$, Adv. Math. **231**, (2012) 1364–1400.
[17] J. Huang, F.-H. Lin and C. Wang, Regularity and Existence of Global Solutions to the Ericksen-Leslie System in $\mathbb{R}^2$, Commu. Math. Phys. **331**, (2014) 805–850.
[18] T. Huang and C. Wang, Blow up criterion for nematic liquid crystal flows, Commun. Partial Diff. Equ. **37**, (2012) 875–884.
[19] T. Kato, Strong L^p-solutions of the Naiver-Stokes equation in $\mathbb{R}^m$, with applications to weak solutions, Math. Z. **187**, (1984) 471–480
[20] H. Koch and D. Tartaru, Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations, Adv. Math. **157**, (2001) 22–35.
[21] P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset, Recent Developments in the Navier-Stokes Problem, Chapman, Hall/CRC Res. Notes Math. Vol 431 (2002).

[22] F. M. Leslie, Some constitutive equations for liquid crystals, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **28**, (1968) 265–283.
[23] J. Li, E. S. Titi and Z. Xin, On the uniqueness of weak solutions to the Ericksen-Leslie liquid crystal model in $\mathbb{R}^2$, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **26**, (2016) no. 4, 803–822.
[24] F.-H. Lin, J. Lin and C. Wang, Liquid crystal flow in two dimension, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **197**, (2010) 297–336.
[25] F.-H. Lin and C. Wang, On the uniqueness of heat flow of harmonic maps and hydrodynamic flow of nematic liquid crystals, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **31**, (2010) 921–938.
[26] F.-H. Lin and C. Wang, Global Existence of Weak Solutions of the Nematic Liquid Crystal Flow in Dimension Three, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. Vol. LXIX (2016) 1532–1571.
[27] F.-H. Lin and C. Liu, Nonparabolic dissipative systems modeling the flow of liquid crystals, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **48**, (1995) 501–537.
[28] F.-H. Lin and C. Liu, Existence of solutions for the Ericksen-Leslie system, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **154**, (2000) 135–156.
[29] J. Lin, Regularity of solutions to the liquid crystal flows with rough initial data, J. Diff. Equ. **255**, (2013) 2778–2800.
[30] C. W. Oseen, The theory of liquid crystals, Trans. Faraday Soc. **29**, (1933) 833–899.
[31] F. Planchon, Global strong solutions in Sobolev or Lebesgue spaces to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare, Anal. None Lineaire **13**, (1996) 319–336.
[32] E. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton Univ Press, 1970.
[33] M. Struwe, On the evolution of harmonic maps of Riemannian surfaces, Commun. Math. Helv. **60** (1985) 558–581.
[34] C. Wang, Well-posedness for the heat flow of harmonic maps and the liquid crystal flow with rough initial data, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **200**, (2011) 1–19.
[35] M. Wang and W. Wang, Global existence of weak solution for the 2-D Ericksen-Leslie system Calc. Var. & PDEs **51**, (2014) 915–962.
[36] M. Wang, W. Wang and Z. Zhang, On the uniqueness of weak solution for the 2-D Ericksen-Leslie system, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B **21**, (2016), no. 3, 919–941.
[37] W. Wang, P. Zhang and Z. Zhang, Well-Posedness of the Ericksen-Leslie System, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **210**, (2013) 837–855.
[38] X. Xu and Z. Zhang, Global regularity and uniqueness of weak solution for the 2-D liquid crystal flows, J. Differential Equations **252**, (2012) 1169–1181.

Min-Chun Hong, Department of Mathematics, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia

Yu Mei, Department of Mathematics, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia